



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Optimization of ultra-low global warming potential refrigerant groups for a three-stages/two stages cascaded vapour compression refrigeration system

R. S. Mishra

Department of Mechanical Engineering, Delhi Technological University Delhi, India

Article Information

Received: 03 July 2021
Revised: 24 August 2021
Accepted: 02 September 2021
Available online: 10 September 2021

Keywords:

Energetic and exergetic efficiency evaluation;
Exergy destruction ratio;
HFO&HCFO Refrigerants;
Modified ecofriendly VCRS

Abstract

Three stage Cascade refrigeration system is an ultra-low temperature refrigeration system and is used for very low temperature range about (-130°C to -150°C). At such ultra-low temperature simple cascaded vapour compression refrigeration cycle and simple cascaded vapour absorption refrigeration cycle is not capable Whereas, cascaded vapour compression refrigeration is much efficient for such conditions. Cascade refrigeration cycle is nothing but simply a combination of two or three VCRS cycles named as low medium and high temperature circuits that are combined together by a two cascade condensers. First cascade condenser unit act as HTC evaporator for high temperature circuit and condenser for medium temperature circuit, the medium temperature circuit uses medium boiling refrigerants such as R1233zd(E), R1225ye(z) , R1336mzz(Z) while second cascade condenser unit act as MTC evaporator for medium temperature circuit and condenser for low temperature circuit, the low temperature circuit uses low boiling refrigerants such as R290, R600a, R1225ye(Z), R-1336mzz(Z) etc. and high temperature uses high boiling point refrigerants such as R717, R152a, R1224yd(Z), R1234ze(E), R1243zf etc. It was found that three stages cascaded vapour compression refrigeration system using R1233zd(E) in HTC, R1336mzz(Z) in MTC and R1225ye(Z) gives best thermodynamic (energetic & exergetic) performances. ©2021 ijrei.com. All rights reserved

1. Introduction

Refrigeration technology plays an important role in human production and life; It is widely used in daily lives commerce and industrial production. The conventional single stage compression refrigeration system and absorption refrigeration system are two basic forms of the refrigeration technology The conventional single stage compression refrigeration system is used in air conditioning, human life, food storage, and transportation. However, for some applications, (e.g., rapid freezing and the storage of frozen food) also required moderately low temperatures in the evaporator (ranging from -40 to -60 °C), high compression ratio, or the high

temperature difference in heat exchanger. In addition, the coefficient of performance (COP) and the volumetric efficiency of conventional single stage compression refrigeration system will be reduced by the high output temperature and pressure of the refrigerants. Although conventional single stage compression refrigeration system is commonly used for freezing applications and can effectively convert the low-grade waste heat into high-grade cold energy. However, when the temperature difference between cold energy and heat source increases, both COP and economy of absorption refrigeration system will decrease; thus, the application of refrigeration system at a low evaporation temperature is seriously limited. Therefore, cascade

Corresponding author: R.S. Mishra

Email Address: hod.mechanical.rsm@dtu.ac.in

<https://doi.org/10.36037/IJREI.2021.5601>

refrigeration system has been proposed to achieve lower refrigeration temperatures. cascade refrigeration system has a wide range of applications, for example in the field of hypothermal medicine, cryopreservation for instrument, and cryogenics, e.g. liquefied gas. It is also widely used in the storage and distribution of food, supermarkets, small refrigeration devices, air conditioning, etc. The system can conform to not only a suitable evaporation pressure at a lower evaporation temperature, but also a moderate condensation pressure at ambient temperature [1].

The two-stage cascade absorption refrigeration system is a type of cascade refrigeration system which can operate with two or more different refrigerants. The performance of cascade absorption refrigeration system with R744 and R711 as working has been analyzed by Dopazo J.A, et.al. [2]. to understand the cold energy production at lower temperatures. The results show that cascade absorption refrigeration system is very suitable for low heat source temperature and low refrigeration temperature system. The two-stage vapour compression cascade refrigeration system is another commonly used refrigeration system consisting of two circuits high-temperature cycle (HTC) and low-temperature cycle (LTC). The two circuits are connected to each other through a heat exchanger, which is simultaneously used as the condenser of the HTC and the evaporator of the LTC [3]. Logesh [4] compared the performance analysis of different refrigerants couples in cascade refrigeration system by considering 70% of the compressor efficiency was assumed to be Refrigerants such as R134a/R23, R410A/R23 and R404A/R170 and found the enhancement in coefficient of performance and flow rate with rise in compressor work and evaporator temperature analyzed in the superheating and sub cooling range of 10 °C and 5 °C respectively. The variation in condenser temperature was from 30°C to 50°C in high temperature circuit while evaporator temperature in low temperature circuit varied in the range of -70°C to -50 °C and concluded that the refrigerant pair R134a/R170 was found to have greater coefficient of performance and lower mass flow rate and the pair R404A/R508B was found to have smaller coefficient of performance and greater mass flow rate. To explore the technical alternatives of refrigerant substitution and analyze the application of low GWP refrigerant in three-stage cascade refrigeration system, the change of operation parameters with the evaporation temperature

Sun[5] studied on thermodynamic analysis three-stage cascade refrigeration systems have been reported. and concluded that R1150 can replace R14 in low-temperature cycle. R41 and R170 can replace R23 in medium-temperature cycle. However in high-temperature cycle, the refrigerants of R717, R152a and R161 are suggested by considering the refrigerant groups of R1150/R41/R717 (system-1), R1150/R41/R152a (system-2), R1150/R41/R161(system-3), R1150/R170/R717 (system-4), R1150/R170/R152a(system-5), R1150/R170/R161(system-6) by taking into account environmental protection and found natural refrigerant of R717 is a good choice in the large refrigeration system. These six cascaded systems provided a

basic theoretical analysis of the selection of refrigerants and replacement of refrigerant in three stages cascaded refrigeration systems.

In this papers use of HCFO & HFO refrigerants in high temperature cycle, HCFO & HFO refrigerants in medium temperature cycle (MTC) and HFO refrigerants in low temperature cycle(LTC) for ultra-low temperature have been suggested.

2. The refrigerants selection in three/ two stages cascaded vapour compression refrigeration systems

The selection of working fluids has a great influence on the system performance. In the selection of working fluids, besides thermodynamic properties, physical and chemical properties of working fluids should also be considered, such as toxicity, combustibility, explosivity, interaction with metal materials, interaction with lubricants, low boiling temperature, and atmospheric environmental friendliness. In addition, the critical temperature of refrigerant should be higher and the condensation temperature should be lower. The critical temperature determines whether the refrigerant can liquefy in the range of ordinary low temperature. The boiling point should be as low as possible to produce a lower temperature. Moreover, the evaporation pressure of the refrigerant should be close to or slightly higher than the atmospheric pressure to increase the chance of air mixing into the system. In the earlier period, the traditional CFCs and HCFCs refrigerants, such as R11, R12, R22, R13, R500, and R520, were widely used in cascaded refrigeration system(CRS) However, these refrigerants have higher Ozone Depletion Potential (ODP), leading to ozone layer depletion [4] however, HFCs do not affect the ozone layer and are regarded as a replacement for CFCs and HCFCs. However, HFCs highly contribute to global warming due to its high ODP values and high permanency in the atmosphere. Therefore, these refrigerants have been gradually phased out since 1996. According to Montreal Protocol and its amendments from the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), these have been prohibited since 2010 [5]. Therefore, finding new alternative refrigerants is a task of top priority. Environmentally friendly refrigerants, e.g. R744, R717, and hydrocarbons, have been developed [6] few refrigerants such as R717, R152a, R134a, R404a, R410a, R600a, R123, R124, R125, R407c, and R290 are usually used in the HTC of two stages compression cascaded refrigeration system while R744, R170, R23, and N₂O are widely used in the LTC of two stages compression cascaded refrigeration system. However, R744 and R717 are the most widely used refrigerants in two-stage CCRS due to their good characteristics, which have been shown to be the most promising natural refrigerants across a broad spectrum of commercial and industrial refrigeration and air-conditioning systems [6,7,8,9]. R744 [9] is a kind of non-toxic, nonflammable gas with a positive vapor pressure at low temperatures; therefore, it is suitable for the low temperate circuit. Due to the high triple point of R744, the lowest

refrigeration temperature is limited above -55°C . The triple point is a temperature and pressure value in thermodynamics that enables a substance to coexist in three phases (gas phase, liquid phase, and solid phase). It is worth mentioning that, when R744 is applied in ultra-low-temperature CRS, sedimentation of R744 may occur when the flow velocity, condensation temperature, and heating power are low. With the increase of mass flow rate, dry ice particles partly gather on the wall of the expansion tube, which causes the blockage. Therefore, we can add a heater on the inlet tube or increase the opening conditions to solve this problem; increasing the opening condition or the input heat fluxes can also avoid blockages [10-12, 15,16]. R717, as an environmentally friendly refrigerant, has been widely used in cascaded refrigeration systems. Moreover, its apparent disadvantages of toxicity and moderate flammability cannot be ignored. R717 with air is flammable when its concentration is about 25% by volume [17,18]. Therefore, the current R717 refrigeration system should strengthen the pipeline welding and air tightness standards to avoid flammability and toxicity issues [19]. It is worth mentioning that R290 and R717 have similar thermodynamic properties in cascaded refrigeration systems, and have no significant difference in economic and exergy efficiency objectives [20]. R290 has 0 ODP and low GWP, but R290 has poor performance against chlorinated solvents and aromatics [21]. Moreover, the level of inherent safety of R717 is higher than R290. In addition to R744 and R717, mixture refrigerants, especially those exhibiting azeotropic phase equilibrium behaviors, have excellent performance in cascaded refrigeration systems. For instance, the binary mixture of R744 and R290 is regarded as a promising alternative to R13 when the evaporation temperature is above 201 K [22]. The ozone-friendly refrigerants pair R507A and R23 is considered as a replacement for CFC refrigerant R13 in low temperature applications. R507A is an azeotropic mixture comprised of R125 (50%) and R143a (50%) on a mass basis. R23 is a single component HFC refrigerant applied as replacement to CFC refrigerant [23]. Moreover, the options of low GWP refrigerant group for a three-stage CRS were developed by Sun et al. [4]. In the middle-temperature cycle, R41 and R170 could replace R23. To obtain a better performance, R170 would be considered first because the optimum condensation temperature of using R41 in MTC is higher than that of using R170 once the refrigerants of HTC and LTC were fixed. In HTC, refrigerants such as R717, R152a, and R161 would be recommended. Out of environmental and safety concern, R717 should be recommended as an environmentally-friendly refrigerant in the larger refrigeration system. The selection of refrigerant facilitates timely conversion from CFC to HCFC, HCFC to HFC or HFO, and HFC or HFO to natural refrigerants [24-27].

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Comparison of results using HFCs & HC and natural refrigerants with HCFO and HFO refrigerants have ultra-

low GWP on the thermodynamic systems performances of cascaded vapour compression systems using for ultra-low temperature of -150°C

To explore the technical alternatives of refrigerant substitution and analyze the application of low GWP refrigerant in three-stage cascade refrigeration system the change of operation parameters with the evaporation temperatures, temperature overlappings, and high temperature condenser. Sun, Z, et.al. [5]; studied low GWP hydrocarbons(HC) and HFC refrigerants by taking into account environmental protection using, thermodynamic analysis in the three-stage cascade refrigeration systems (TCRS) and concluded that R1150 can replace R14 in low-temperature cycle. R41 and R170 can replace R23 in medium-temperature cycle and R170 is suggested as a priority. However, in high-temperature cycle, the refrigerants of R717, R152a and R161 are suggested. the natural refrigerant of R717 is a good choice in the large refrigeration system. His computed results of six cascaded systems provided a basic theoretical analysis of the selection of refrigerants and replacement of refrigerant in three-stage cascade refrigeration system. The computed results of similar systems (refrigerant groups of R1150/R41/R717 (system-1), R1150/R41/R152a (system-2), R1150/R41/R161 (system-3), R1150/R170/R717 (system-4), R1150/R170/R152a (system-5) and R1150/R170/R161 (system-6)) have been compared using developed thermal model [24] using thermodynamic (energy-exergy) analysis for HFO refrigerants introduced in the systems for condenser temperature ($T_{\text{cond_HTC}}=50^{\circ}\text{C}$), Evaporator temperatures ($T_{\text{Eva_HTC}}=-20^{\circ}\text{C}$, $T_{\text{Eva_MTC}}=-75^{\circ}\text{C}$ and $T_{\text{Eva_LTC}}=-135^{\circ}\text{C}$), temperature overlappings ($\text{Approach_MTC}=10^{\circ}\text{C}$ and $\text{Approach_LTC}=10^{\circ}\text{C}$) with 80% of compressors efficiencies for 35 kW of cooling load conditions.

System-1: Cascaded Vapour compression refrigeration systems using R717 in HTC & R-41 in MTC and R1150 in LTC
System-2: Cascaded Vapour compression refrigeration systems using R717 in HTC & R-41 in MTC and R1150 in LTC

System-2: Cascaded Vapour compression refrigeration systems using R152a in HTC & R-41 in MTC and R1150 in LTC

System-3: Cascaded Vapour compression refrigeration systems using R161 in HTC & R-41 in MTC and R1150 in LTC in LTC.

System-4: Cascaded Vapour compression refrigeration systems using R717 in HTC & R-170 in MTC and R1150 in LTC

System-5: Cascaded Vapour compression refrigeration systems using R152a in HTC & R-170 in MTC and R1150 in LTC.

System-6: Cascaded Vapour compression refrigeration systems using R161 in HTC & R-170 in MTC and R1150in

LTC. The computed results are shown in Table-1(a) respectively and verified the similar statement regarding R717.

Table 1(a): Comparison of two cascaded systems for equal temperature overlapping(°C) on thermodynamic performances of cascaded vapor compression refrigeration system using ecofriendly low GWP refrigerants for zero approaches (zero temperature overlapping)

| Performance parameters | System1 | System2 | System3 | System4 | System5 | System6 |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| First Law Efficiency (COP _{Cascade three stages VCRS}) | 0.270 | 0.2617 | 0.2676 | 0.259 | 0.2654 | 0.2569 |
| Exergy Destruction Ratio (EDR _{Cascade three stages VCRS}) | 2.196 | 2.303 | 2.227 | 2.334 | 2.253 | 2.361 |
| Exergetic Efficiency Cascade three stages VCRS | 0.3128 | 0.302 | 0.3098 | 0.2998 | 0.3078 | 0.2976 |
| Exergy of Fuel Cascade three stages VCRS “kW” | 130.2 | 134.5 | 131.4 | 135.8 | 132.5 | 136.9 |
| Exergy of Product Cascade three stages VCRS “kW” | 40.7 | 40.7 | 40.7 | 40.7 | 40.7 | 40.7 |
| HTC First Law Efficiency COP _{HTC} | 2.726 | 2.726 | 2.652 | 2.652 | 2.591 | 2.591 |
| MTCFirst Law Efficiency COP _{MTC} | 1.382 | 1.301 | 1.382 | 1.301 | 1.382 | 1.301 |
| LTC First Law Efficiency COP _{LTC} | 1.004 | 1.004 | 1.004 | 1.004 | 1.004 | 1.004 |

On same cooling load on low temperature evaporator (35.167kW) with same temperature and other conditions using HFO and HCFO refrigerants The numerical computation has been carried out for systems suggested for ultra-low GWP refrigerants as shown in Table-1(b) The systems specifications are given below.

System-1: Cascaded Vapour compression refrigeration systems using R1233zd(E), in HTC & R1336mzz(Z), in MTC and R-1225ye(Z) in LTC.

System-2: Cascaded Vapour compression refrigeration systems using R1233zd(E), in HTC & R1225ye(Z) in MTC and R-1336mzz(Z), in LTC.

System-2: Cascaded Vapour compression refrigeration systems using R1224yd(Z) in HTC & R-41in MTC and R1150in LTC.

System-3: Cascaded Vapour compression refrigeration systems using R1224yd(Z)in HTC & R1336mzz(Z), in MTC and R-1225ye(Z) in LTC.

System-4: Cascaded Vapour compression refrigeration systems using R1224yd(Z)in HTC & R1225ye(Z) in MTC and R-1336mzz(Z), in LTC.

System-5: Cascaded Vapour compression refrigeration systems using R1234ze(E) in HTC & R1336mzz(Z), in MTC and R-1225ye(Z) in LTC.

System-6: Cascaded Vapour compression refrigeration systems using R1234ze(E) in HTC & R1225ye(Z) in MTC and R-1336mzz(Z), in LTC.

The computed results using HFO & HCFO refrigerants and compared the results of table-1(a)of same six systems using and found that HFO refrigerants.as shown in Table-1(b) gives better thermodynamic performances as compared with refrigerants suggested [sun]. The results show that HFO-R1225ye(Z) and R1336mzz(Z) can replace R14 and R1150 in low-temperature cycle.R1233zd(E), HFO-R1225ye(Z) and R1336mzz(Z) can replace R23 and R41, R170 in medium-temperature cycle and R-1224yd(Z), R1234ze(Z), R1243zf, R1234ze(Z) R1233zd(E), HFO-R1225ye(Z) and R1336mzz(Z) and R1234yf will be recommended will replace R717, R152a and R161in high-temperature cycle. However the HC &HFC refrigerants gives lower first law efficiency (COP_{Cascade}) and second law performance (exergetic efficiency) as compared to the HFO suggested as shown in Table-1(b).

Table 1(b): Comparison of six cascaded systems for equal temperature overlapping(°C) on thermodynamic performances of cascaded vapour compression refrigeration system using ecofriendly low GWP refrigerants equal approaches (10°C of temperature overlapping)

| Performance parameters | System7 | System8 | System9 | System10 | System11 | System12 |
|--|---------|---------|---------|----------|----------|----------|
| First Law Efficiency (COP _{Cascade three stages VCRS}) | 0.2992 | 0.2913 | 0.2965 | 0.2887 | 0.2878 | 0.2803 |
| Exergy Destruction Ratio (EDR _{Cascade three stages VCRS}) | 1.886 | 1.964 | 1.913 | 1.999 | 2.0 | 2.08 |
| Exergetic Efficiency Cascade three stages VCRS | 0.3465 | 0.33702 | 0.343 | 0.3340 | 0.330 | 0.324 |
| Exergy of Fuel Cascade three stages VCRS “kW” | 117.5 | 120.7 | 118.6 | 121.8 | 122.2 | 125.4 |
| Exergy of Product Cascade three stages VCRS “kW” | 40.7 | 40.7 | 40.7 | 40.7 | 40.7 | 40.7 |
| HTC First Law Efficiency COP _{HTC} | 2.691 | 2.691 | 2.622 | 2.622 | 2.42 | 2.42 |
| MTCFirst Law Efficiency COP _{MTC} | 1.502 | 1.516 | 1.502 | 1.516 | 1.502 | 1.516 |
| LTC First Law Efficiency COP _{LTC} | 1.110 | 1.055 | 1.110 | 1.055 | 1.110 | 1.055 |

3.2 Effect of varying MTC<C approaches (temperature overlapping) on the thermodynamic systems performances cascaded vapour compression systems using HCFO and HFO refrigerants have ultra-low GWP for ultra-low temperature of -135°C.

Following systems have been selected for comparison of cascaded vapour compression systems performances using HCFO and HFO refrigerants have ultra-low GWP for ultra-low temperature of -135°C.

System-1: Cascaded Vapour compression refrigeration systems using R1233zd(E), in HTC & R1336mzz(Z), in MTC and R-1225ye(Z) in LTC.

System-2: Cascaded Vapour compression refrigeration systems using R1233zd(E), in HTC & R-1225ye(Z) in MTC and R1336mzz(Z) in LTC

Table 1(c): Numerical Input data used in the cascaded vapour compression refrigeration systems.

| Component | Data |
|--|------------|
| Low temperature evaporator cooling load capacity | 35.167 kW |
| High temperature condenser temperature | 50°C |
| Ambient temperature | 25°C |
| High temperature evaporator temperature | - 20°C |
| High temperature Compressor efficiency | 80% |
| Medium temperature Compressor efficiency | 80% |
| Low temperature Compressor efficiency | 80% |
| Medium temperature evaporator temperature | - 95(°C) |
| Low temperature evaporator temperature | - 135 (°C) |
| Temperature overlapping Approach _{MTC} | 10 (°C) |
| Temperature overlapping Approach _{LTC} | 10 (°C) |

Table 2(a): Comparison of two cascaded systems for equal temperature overlapping(°C) on thermodynamic performances of cascaded vapour compression refrigeration system using ecofriendly low GWP refrigerants for zero approaches (zero temperature overlapping)

| Both Temperature Overlapping (MTC Approach =LTC Approach)= 0(°C) | System 1 | System 2 |
|--|----------|----------|
| (COP _{Cascade three stages VCRS}) | 0.3649 | 0.3671 |
| (EDR _{Cascade three stages VCRS}) | 1.366 | 1.352 |
| Exergetic Efficiency Cascade three stages VCRS | 0.4226 | 0.4385 |
| Exergy of Fuel _{Cascade three stages VCRS} “kW” | 96.37 | 95.80 |
| Exergy of Product _{Cascade three stages VCRS} “kW” | 40.73 | 40.73 |
| HTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 0.6954 | 0.6924 |
| MTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 0.3693 | 0.4115 |
| LTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 0.1989 | 0.170 |
| Q _{Cond_{HTC}} “kW” | 131.5 | 131.0 |
| Q _{Cond_{MTC}} “kW” | 89.15 | 88.77 |
| Q _{Cond_{LTC}} “kW” | 51.25 | 51.64 |
| Q _{EVA_{LTC}} “kW” | 35.167 | 35.167 |
| First Law Efficiency (COP _{MTC Cascade}) | 0.6384 | 0.6510 |
| Exergy Destruction Ratio (EDR _{MTC Cascade}) | 1.325 | 1.281 |
| MTCascade Exergetic Efficiency _{MTC} | 0.430 | 0.4385 |
| MTCascade Exergy of Fuel _{MTC} “kW” | 80.28 | 79.33 |
| MTCascade Exergy of Product _{HTC} “kW” | 34.52 | 34.78 |
| First Law Efficiency COP _{HTC} | 2.104 | 2.104 |
| Exergy Destruction Ratio (EDR) | 1.674 | 1.674 |
| Exergetic Efficiency _{HTC} | 0.3739 | 0.3739 |
| Exergy of Fuel _{HTC} “kW” | 42.38 | 42.20 |
| Exergy of Product _{HTC} “kW” | 15.85 | 15.78 |
| First Law Efficiency COP _{HTC} | 2.104 | 2.104 |
| First Law Efficiency COP _{MTC} | 1.352 | 1.391 |
| First Law Efficiency COP _{LTC} | 2.186 | 2.135 |

Table 2(b): Comparison of two case cascaded systems for equal Temperature Overlapping(°C) on thermodynamic performances of cascaded vapour compression refrigeration system using ecofriendly low GWP refrigerants for 5°C approaches (i.e.5°C temperature overlapping)

| Both Temperature Overlapping (MTC Approach =LTC Approach)= 5(°C) | System1 | System 2 |
|--|---------|----------|
| First Law Efficiency (COP _{Cascade three stages VCRS}) | 0.3249 | 0.3259 |
| (EDR _{Cascade three stages VCRS}) | 1.659 | 1.65 |
| Exergetic Efficiency Cascade three stages VCRS | 0.3760 | 0.3774 |
| Exergy of Fuel _{Cascade three stages VCRS} “kW” | 108.3 | 107.9 |
| Exergy of Product _{Cascade three stages VCRS} “kW” | 40.73 | 40.73 |
| HTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 0.7586 | 0.7565 |
| MTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 0.4041 | 0.4504 |
| LTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 0.2036 | 0.1752 |
| HTC compressor Work “kW” | 46.23 | 46.10 |
| MTC compressor Work “kW” | 43.63 | 42.83 |
| LTC compressor Work “kW” | 18.46 | 18.99 |
| Q _{Cond_{HTC}} “kW” | 143.5 | 143.1 |
| Q _{Cond_{LTC}} “kW” | 97.25 | 96.99 |
| Q _{EVA_{HTC}} “kW” | 53.63 | 54.15 |
| Q _{EVA_{LTC}} “kW” | 35.167 | 35.167 |
| MTCascade First Law Efficiency | 0.5968 | 0.6089 |
| MTC Exergy Destruction Ratio (EDR) | 1.488 | 1.438 |
| MTC Exergetic Efficiency | 0.4020 | 0.4102 |
| MTCascade Exergy of Fuel _{MTC} “kW” | 89.86 | 88.93 |
| MTCascade Exergy of Product “kW” | 36.12 | 36.48 |
| First Law Efficiency COP _{HTC} | 2.104 | 2.104 |
| Exergy Destruction Ratio (EDR) | 1.674 | 1.674 |
| Exergetic Efficiency _{HTC} | 0.3739 | 0.3739 |
| Exergy of Fuel _{HTC} “kW” | 46.23 | 46.11 |
| Exergy of Product _{HTC} “kW” | 17.29 | 17.24 |
| First Law Efficiency COP _{HTC} | 2.104 | 2.104 |
| First Law Efficiency COP _{MTC} | 1.229 | 1.261 |
| First Law Efficiency COP _{LTC} | 1.905 | 1.852 |

3.3 Effect of Varying MTC <C approaches (temperature overlapping) on the thermodynamic performances cascaded vapour compression systems using HCFO and HFO refrigerants have ultra-low GWP for ultra-low temperature of -150°C.

The effect of MTC Temperature Overlapping(°C) on the thermodynamic performances have been shown in Table-3(a) to 3(b) respectively. It was found that when the MTC Temperature Overlapping(oC) is increasing, thermodynamic performance of three stages cascaded vapour compression refrigeration is decreasing while first law efficiency of medium temperature cycle is decreasing. The effect of LTC Temperature Overlapping(oC) on the thermodynamic performances have been shown in Table-3(c) to 3(d) respectively. It was found that when the MTC Temperature Overlapping(oC) is increasing, thermodynamic performance of three stages cascaded vapour compression refrigeration is decreasing while first law efficiency of LTC temperature cycle is decreasing.

Table 2(c): Comparison of two case cascaded vapour compression refrigeration systems for equal Temperature Overlapping ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) on thermodynamic performances of cascaded vapour compression refrigeration system using ecofriendly low GWP refrigerants for 10°C approaches (zero temperature overlapping)

| Both Temperature Overlapping (MTC Approach =LTC Approach)= 10°C) | System 1 | System 2 |
|---|----------|----------|
| First Law Efficiency (COP_Cascade three stages VCRS) | 0.2895 | 0.2897 |
| (EDR_Cascade three stages VCRS) | 1.983 | 1.980 |
| Exergetic Efficiency Cascade three stages VCRS | 0.3353 | 0.3356 |
| Exergy of Fuel_Cascade three stages VCRS "kW" | 121.6 | 121.4 |
| Exergy of Product_Cascade three stages VCRS "kW" | 40.73 | 40.73 |
| HTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 0.8282 | 0.8276 |
| MTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 0.4429 | 0.4943 |
| LTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 0.2086 | 0.1807 |
| HTC Comp Work "kW" | 50.47 | 50.44 |
| MTC Comp Work "kW" | 50.10 | 49.33 |
| LTC Comp Work "kW" | 20.91 | 21.61 |
| Q_Cond_HTC "kW" | 156.6 | 156.5 |
| Q_Cond_MTC "kW" | 106.2 | 106.1 |
| Q_Cond_LTC "kW" | 56.06 | 56.78 |
| Q_EVA_LTC "kW" | 35.167 | 35.167 |
| First Law Efficiency (COP_MTCascade) | 0.5578 | 0.5691 |
| Exergy Destruction Ratio (EDR_MTCascade) | 1.662 | 1.609 |
| MTCascade Exergetic Efficiency_MTC | 0.3756 | 0.3356 |
| MTCascade Exergy of Fuel_MTC "kW" | 100.6 | 99.76 |
| MTCascade Exergy of Product_HTC "kW" | 37.77 | 38.24 |
| First Law Efficiency COP_HTC | 2.104 | 2.104 |
| Exergy Destruction Ratio (EDR) | 1.674 | 1.674 |
| Exergetic Efficiency_HTC | 0.3739 | 0.3739 |
| Exergy of Fuel_HTC "kW" | 50.47 | 50.44 |
| Exergy of Product_HTC "kW" | 18.87 | 18.86 |
| First Law Efficiency COP_HTC | 2.104 | 2.104 |
| First Law Efficiency COP_MTC | 1.119 | 1.119 |
| First Law Efficiency COP_LTC | 1.682 | 1.627 |

3.4 Effect of HTC Condenser Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) on the thermodynamic performances cascaded vapour compression systems performances using HCFO and HFO refrigerants have ultra-low GWP for ultra-low temperature of -150°C

The effect of HTC Condenser Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) on the thermodynamic performances have been shown in Table-4(a) to 4(b) respectively. It was found that when the HTC Condenser temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) is decreasing, thermodynamic performance of three stages cascaded vapour compression refrigeration is increasing while first law efficiency of high temperature cycle is increasing while exergy of fuel (means power required to run whole system) is decreasing. Similarly, heat losses from HTC condenser is decreasing and cascaded first law efficiency (COP_Cascaded_MTC) and cascaded MTC

exergetic efficiency of two stage cascaded efficiency is increasing. as condenser temperature is decreasing.

3.5 Effect of HTC evaporator temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) on cascaded vapour compression systems performances using HCFO and HFO refrigerants have ultra-low GWP for ultra-low temperature of -150°C

The effect of HTC Evaporator Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) on the thermodynamic performances have been shown in Table-4(a) to 4(b) respectively. It was found that when the HTC evaporator temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) is increasing, thermodynamic performance of three stages cascaded vapour compression refrigeration is decreasing while first law efficiency of high temperature cycle is increasing The effect of HTC Condenser Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) on the thermodynamic performances have been shown in Table-5(a) to 5(b) respectively. It was found that when the HTC Condenser temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) is decreasing, thermodynamic performance of three stages cascaded vapour compression refrigeration is increasing while first law efficiency of high temperature cycle is increasing while exergy of fuel (means power required to run whole system) is decreasing. Similarly, heat losses from HTC condenser is decreasing and cascaded first law efficiency (COP_Cascaded_MTC) and cascaded MTC exergetic efficiency of two stage cascaded efficiency is increasing. as condenser temperature is decreasing.

3.6 Effect of MTC evaporator temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) on thermodynamic performances of cascaded vapour compression systems performances using HCFO-1233zd(E) refrigerant in HTC and HFO-1336mzz(Z) in MTC and HFO-1225ye(Z) in LTC have ultra-low GWP for ultra-low temperature of -150°C

The effect of MTC Evaporator Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) on the thermodynamic performances have been shown in Table-6 to Table-7 respectively. It was found that when the MTC evaporator temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) is increasing, thermodynamic performance of three stages cascaded vapour compression refrigeration is decreasing while first law efficiency of high temperature cycle is increasing

Table 3(a): Effect of MTC Approach (MTC Temperature Overlapping(°C)) on thermodynamic performances of cascaded vapour compression refrigeration system using ecofriendly HCFO-1233zd(E) ultra-low GWP refrigerants in high temperature cycle ($T_{Cond}=50^{\circ}C$, $T_{ambient}=25^{\circ}C$, $T_{Eva_HTC}= - 20^{\circ}C$ & Compressor efficiency $_{HTC}=80\%$,) and HFO-1225ye(Z) in medium temperature cycle at $T_{Eva_MTC}= - 95^{\circ}C$ & Compressor efficiency $_{MTC}=80\%$, and HFO-1336mzz(Z) in ultra-low temperature cycle at $Q_{Eva}=35.167$ kW using , Compressor efficiency $_{LTC}=80\%$, $T_{Eva_LTC}= - 150^{\circ}C$, LTC Approach= $10^{\circ}C$)

| MTC Temperature Overlapping(°C) | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| First Law Efficiency (COP $_{Cascade}$ three stages VCERS) | 0.2385 | 0.2268 | 0.2153 | 0.204 |
| Exergy Destruction Ratio (EDR $_{Cascade}$ three stages VCERS) | 1.95 | 2.103 | 2.268 | 2.449 |
| Exergetic Efficiency $_{Cascade}$ three stages VCERS | 0.3390 | 0.3223 | 0.3833 | 0.3578 |
| Exergy of Fuel $_{Cascade}$ three stages VCERS “kW” | 147.4 | 155.1 | 163.3 | 172.4 |
| Exergy of Product $_{Cascade}$ three stages VCERS “kW” | 49.97 | 49.97 | 49.97 | 49.97 |
| HTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 0.9642 | 0.8290 | 0.8655 | 0.9051 |
| MTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 0.5737 | 0.5988 | 0.6267 | 0.6579 |
| LTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 0.1882 | 0.1882 | 0.1882 | 0.1882 |
| Q $_{Cond_HTC}$ “kW” | 182.6 | 190.2 | 198.5 | 207.5 |
| Q $_{Cond_LTC}$ “kW” | 123.8 | 128.99 | 134.5 | 140.7 |
| Q $_{EVA_HTC}$ “kW” | 71.99 | 71.99 | 71.99 | 71.99 |
| Q $_{EVA_LTC}$ “kW” | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 |
| First Law Efficiency (COP $_{MTC}$ cascade) | 0.651 | 0.6089 | 0.5691 | 0.5312 |
| MTCascade Exergy Destruction Ratio (EDR) | 1.281 | 1.438 | 1.609 | 1.795 |
| MTCascade Exergetic Efficiency $_{MTC}$ | 0.4385 | 0.4102 | 0.3833 | 0.3578 |
| MTCascade Exergy of Fuel $_{MTC}$ “kW” | 110.6 | 118.2 | 126.5 | 135.5 |
| MTCascade Exergy of Product $_{HTC}$ “kW” | 47.25 | 47.25 | 47.25 | 47.25 |
| First Law Efficiency COP $_{HTC}$ | 2.104 | 2.104 | 2.104 | 2.104 |
| Exergy Destruction Ratio (EDR) | 1.674 | 1.674 | 1.674 | 1.674 |
| Exergetic Efficiency $_{HTC}$ | 0.3739 | 0.3739 | 0.3739 | 0.3739 |
| Exergy of Fuel $_{HTC}$ “kW” | 58.83 | 61.29 | 63.96 | 66.86 |
| Exergy of Product $_{HTC}$ “kW” | 22.0 | 22.92 | 23.92 | 24.69 |
| First Law Efficiency COP $_{HTC}$ | 2.104 | 2.104 | 2.104 | 2.104 |
| First Law Efficiency COP $_{MTC}$ | 1.352 | 1.264 | 1.151 | 1.048 |
| First Law Efficiency COP $_{LTC}$ | 0.955 | 0.955 | 0.955 | 0.955 |

Table 3(b): Effect of MTC Approach (MTC Temperature Overlapping(°C)) on thermodynamic performances of cascaded vapour compression refrigeration system using ecofriendly HCFO-1233zd(E) ultra-low GWP refrigerants in high temperature cycle ($T_{Cond}=50^{\circ}C$, $T_{ambient}=25^{\circ}C$, $T_{Eva_HTC}= - 20^{\circ}C$ & Compressor efficiency $_{HTC}=80\%$,) and HFO-1336mzz(Z) in medium temperature cycle at $T_{Eva_MTC}= - 95^{\circ}C$ & Compressor efficiency $_{MTC}=80\%$, and HFO-1225ye(Z) in ultra-low temperature cycle at $Q_{Eva}=35.167$ kW using , Compressor efficiency $_{LTC}=80\%$, $T_{Eva_LTC}= - 150^{\circ}C$, LTC Approach= $10^{\circ}C$)

| MTC Temperature Overlapping(°C) | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| First Law Efficiency (COP $_{Cascade}$ three stages VCERS) | 0.2428 | 0.2306 | 0.2187 | 0.2072 |
| Exergy Destruction Ratio (EDR $_{Cascade}$ three stages VCERS) | 1.898 | 2.052 | 2.217 | 2.396 |
| Exergetic Efficiency $_{Cascade}$ three stages VCERS | 0.345 | 0.3270 | 0.3108 | 0.2944 |
| Exergy of Fuel $_{Cascade}$ three stages VCERS “kW” | 144.8 | 152.5 | 160.8 | 169.7 |
| Exergy of Product $_{Cascade}$ three stages VCERS “kW” | 49.97 | 49.97 | 49.97 | 49.97 |
| HTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 0.9517 | 0.9923 | 1.306 | 1.083 |
| MTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 0.5055 | 0.5286 | 0.5539 | 0.5819 |
| LTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 0.2157 | 0.2157 | 0.2157 | 0.2157 |
| HTC Compressor Work (W $_{Comp_HTC}$)“kW” | 58.0 | 60.47 | 63.13 | 66.02 |
| MTC Compressor Work (W $_{Comp_MTC}$)“kW” | 51.87 | 57.06 | 62.66 | 68.73 |
| LTC Compressor Work (W $_{Comp_LTC}$)“kW” | 34.97 | 34.97 | 34.97 | 34.97 |
| Q $_{Cond_HTC}$ “kW” | 180.0 | 187.7 | 195.9 | 204.9 |
| Q $_{Cond_MTC}$ “kW” | 122.0 | 127.2 | 132.8 | 138.9 |
| Q $_{Cond_LTC}$ “kW” | 70.14 | 70.14 | 70.14 | 70.14 |
| Q $_{EVA_LTC}$ “kW” | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 |
| First Law Efficiency COP $_{MTC}$ cascade | 0.6384 | 0.5969 | 0.5576 | 0.5205 |
| MTCascade Exergy Destruction Ratio (EDR) | 1.325 | 1.488 | 1.662 | 1.852 |
| MTCascade Exergetic Efficiency $_{HTC}$ | 0.430 | 0.3739 | 0.375 | 0.354 |
| MTCascade Exergy of Fuel | 109.9 | 117.5 | 125.8 | 134.7 |
| MTCascade Exergy of Product | 47.25 | 47.25 | 47.25 | 47.25 |
| First Law Efficiency COP $_{HTC}$ | 2.104 | 2.104 | 2.104 | 2.104 |

| | | | | |
|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| HTC Exergy Destruction Ratio (EDR) | 1.674 | 1.674 | 1.674 | 1.674 |
| HTC Exergetic Efficiency _{HTC} | 0.3739 | 0.3739 | 0.3739 | 0.3739 |
| Exergy of Fuel _{HTC} “kW” | 58.0 | 60.47 | 63.13 | 66.02 |
| Exergy of Product _{HTC} “kW” | 21.69 | 22.61 | 23.61 | 24.67 |
| First Law Efficiency COP _{HTC} | 2.104 | 2.104 | 2.104 | 2.104 |
| First Law Efficiency COP _{MTC} | 1.352 | 1.229 | 1.119 | 1.021 |
| First Law Efficiency COP _{LTC} | 1.005 | 1.005 | 1.005 | 1.005 |

Table 3(c): Effect of LTC Approach (MLTC Temperature Overlapping(°C)) on thermodynamic performances of cascaded vapour compression refrigeration system using ecofriendly HCFO-1233zd(E) ultra-low GWP refrigerants in high temperature cycle ($T_{Cond}=50^{\circ}C$, $T_{ambient}=25^{\circ}C$, $T_{Eva_HTC}= - 20^{\circ}C$ & Compressor efficiency_{HTC}=80%,) and HFO-1225ye(Z) in medium temperature cycle at $T_{Eva_MTC}= - 95^{\circ}C$ & Compressor efficiency_{MTC}=80%, and HFO-1336mzz(Z) in ultra-low temperature cycle at $Q_{Eva}=35.167$ kW using , Compressor efficiency_{LTC}=80%, $T_{Eva_LTC}= - 150^{\circ}C$, MTC Approach=10(°C)

| LTC Temperature Overlapping(°C) | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 |
|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| First Law Efficiency (COP _{Cascade three stages VCERS}) | 0.245 | 0.2295 | 0.2153 | 0.2023 |
| Exergy Destruction Ratio (EDR _{Cascade three stages VCERS}) | 1.873 | 2.066 | 2.268 | 2.479 |
| Exergetic Efficiency _{Cascade three stages VCERS} | 0.3481 | 0.3261 | 0.3060 | 0.2874 |
| Exergy of Fuel _{Cascade three stages VCERS} “kW” | 143.6 | 153.2 | 163.3 | 173.9 |
| Exergy of Product _{Cascade three stages VCERS} “kW” | 49.97 | 49.97 | 49.97 | 49.97 |
| HTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 0.945 | 0.9961 | 1.049 | 1.105 |
| MTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 0.5643 | 0.5949 | 0.6267 | 0.660 |
| LTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 0.1766 | 0.1822 | 0.1882 | 0.1945 |
| Q _{Cond} _{HTC} “kW” | 178.7 | 188.4 | 198.5 | 209.0 |
| Q _{Cond} _{LTC} “kW” | 121.1 | 127.7 | 134.5 | 141.7 |
| Q _{EVA} _{HTC} “kW” | 64.82 | 68.33 | 71.99 | 75.81 |
| Q _{EVA} _{LTC} “kW” | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 |
| First Law Efficiency (COP _{MTCascade}) | 0.5691 | 0.5691 | 0.5691 | 0.5691 |
| MTCascade Exergy Destruction Ratio (EDR) | 1.609 | 1.609 | 1.609 | 1.609 |
| MTCascade Exergetic Efficiency _{MTC} | 0.3833 | 0.3833 | 0.3833 | 0.3833 |
| MTCascade Exergy of Fuel _{MTC} “kW” | 126.5 | 126.5 | 126.5 | 126.5 |
| MTCascade Exergy of Product _{HTC} “kW” | 43.67 | 43.67 | 43.67 | 43.67 |
| First Law Efficiency COP _{HTC} | 2.104 | 2.104 | 2.104 | 2.104 |
| Exergy Destruction Ratio (EDR) | 1.674 | 1.674 | 1.674 | 1.674 |
| Exergetic Efficiency _{HTC} | 0.3739 | 0.3739 | 0.3739 | 0.3739 |
| Exergy of Fuel _{HTC} “kW” | 57.59 | 60.71 | 63.96 | 67.35 |
| Exergy of Product _{HTC} “kW” | 21.53 | 22.7 | 23.92 | 25.19 |
| First Law Efficiency COP _{HTC} | 2.104 | 2.104 | 2.104 | 2.104 |
| First Law Efficiency COP _{MTC} | 1.151 | 1.151 | 1.151 | 1.151 |
| First Law Efficiency COP _{LTC} | 1.186 | 1.06 | 0.955 | 0.8652 |

Table 3(d): Effect of LTC Approach (LTC Temperature Overlapping(°C)) on thermodynamic performances of cascaded vapour compression refrigeration system using ecofriendly HCFO-1233zd(E) ultra-low GWP refrigerants in high temperature cycle ($T_{Cond}=50^{\circ}C$, $T_{ambient}=25^{\circ}C$, $T_{Eva_HTC}= - 20^{\circ}C$ & Compressor efficiency_{HTC}=80%,) and HFO-1336mzz(Z) in medium temperature cycle at $T_{Eva_MTC}= - 95^{\circ}C$ & Compressor efficiency_{MTC}=80%, and HFO-1225ye(Z) in ultra-low+ temperature cycle at $Q_{Eva}=35.167$ kW using , Compressor efficiency_{LTC}=80%, $T_{Eva_LTC}= - 150^{\circ}C$, MTC Approach=10(°C)

| LTC Temperature Overlapping(°C) | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 |
|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| First Law Efficiency (COP _{Cascade three stages VCERS}) | 0.2465 | 0.2320 | 0.2187 | 0.2065 |
| Exergy Destruction Ratio (EDR _{Cascade three stages VCERS}) | 1.855 | 2.033 | 2.217 | 2.408 |
| Exergetic Efficiency _{Cascade three stages VCERS} | 0.3503 | 0.3297 | 0.3108 | 0.2935 |
| Exergy of Fuel _{Cascade three stages VCERS} “kW” | 142.7 | 151.6 | 160.8 | 170.3 |
| Exergy of Product _{Cascade three stages VCERS} “kW” | 49.97 | 49.97 | 49.97 | 49.97 |
| HTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 0.9402 | 0.9873 | 1.036 | 1.086 |
| MTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 0.5027 | 0.5279 | 0.5539 | 0.5809 |
| LTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 0.2053 | 0.2104 | 0.2157 | 0.2215 |
| HTC compressor Work (W _{comp HTC}) “kW” | 57.29 | 60.16 | 63.13 | 66.2 |
| MTC compressor Work (W _{comp MTC}) “kW” | 56.87 | 59.72 | 62.66 | 65.71 |
| LTC compressor Work (W _{comp LTC}) “kW” | 28.45 | 31.68 | 34.97 | 38.39 |
| Q _{Cond} _{HTC} “kW” | 177.8 | 186.7 | 195.9 | 205.5 |
| Q _{Cond} _{MTC} “kW” | 120.5 | 126.6 | 132.8 | 139.3 |

| | | | | |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Q Cond LTC“kW” | 63.66 | 66.85 | 70.14 | 73.55 |
| Q EVA LTC“kW” | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 |
| First Law Efficiency COP_MTCascade | 0.5576 | 0.5576 | 0.5576 | 0.5576 |
| MTCascade Exergy Destruction Ratio (EDR) | 1.662 | 1.662 | 1.662 | 1.662 |
| MTCascade Exergetic Efficiency_HTC | 0.3756 | 0.3756 | 0.3756 | 0.3756 |
| MTCascade Exergy of Fuel | 114.2 | 119.9 | 125.8 | 131.9 |
| MTCascade Exergy of Product | 42.88 | 45.03 | 47.25 | 49.54 |
| First Law Efficiency COP_HTC | 2.104 | 2.104 | 2.104 | 2.104 |
| HTC Exergy Destruction Ratio (EDR) | 1.674 | 1.674 | 1.674 | 1.674 |
| HTC Exergetic Efficiency_HTC | 0.5916 | 0.5916 | 0.5916 | 0.5916 |
| First Law Efficiency COP_HTC | 2.104 | 2.104 | 2.104 | 2.104 |
| First Law Efficiency COP_MTC | 1.119 | 1.119 | 1.119 | 1.119 |
| First Law Efficiency COP_LTC | 1.234 | 1.11 | 1.005 | 0.9161 |

Table 4(a): Effect of HTC condenser temperature (°C) on thermodynamic performances of cascaded vapour compression refrigeration system using ecofriendly low GWP refrigerants HCFO-1233zd(E) in high temperature circuit (HTC) at $T_{Cond_HTC}=50^{\circ}C$ & Compressor efficiency_{HTC}=80%,and HFO-1225ye(Z) in medium temperature circuit (MTC) at Compressor efficiency_{MTC}=80%,and HFO-1336mzz(Z) in low temperature circuit (LTC) at Compressor efficiency_{LTC}=80%,and $Q_{Eva}=35.167$ kW, $T_{ambient}=25^{\circ}C$, $T_{Cond}=50^{\circ}C$, $T_{Eva_HTC}= - 20^{\circ}C$, $T_{Eva_MTC}= - 95^{\circ}C$, $T_{Eva_LTC}= - 150^{\circ}C$, Approach_{MTC}=10(°C), Approach_{LTC}=10(°C),)

| HTC Condenser Temperature (°C) | 55 | 50 | 45 | 40 | 35 | 30 |
|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| (COP_Cascade three stages VCERS) | 0.2063 | 0.2153 | 0.2243 | 0.2333 | 0.2424 | 0.2515 |
| (EDR_Cascade three stages VCERS) | 2.411 | 2.268 | 2.137 | 2.016 | 1.903 | 1.798 |
| Exergetic Efficiency Cascade three stages VCERS | 0.2932 | 0.3060 | 0.3187 | 0.3316 | 0.3444 | 0.3574 |
| Exergy of Fuel_Cascade three stages VCERS “kW” | 170.5 | 163.3 | 156.8 | 150.7 | 145.1 | 139.8 |
| Exergy of Product_Cascade three stages VCERS “kW” | 49.97 | 49.97 | 49.97 | 49.97 | 49.97 | 49.97 |
| HTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 1.105 | 1.049 | 0.9999 | 0.9550 | 0.9141 | 0.8768 |
| MTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 0.6267 | 0.6267 | 0.6267 | 0.6267 | 0.6267 | 0.6267 |
| LTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 0.1882 | 0.1882 | 0.1882 | 0.1882 | 0.1882 | 0.1882 |
| Q Cond_HTC“kW” | 205.6 | 198.5 | 191.9 | 185.9 | 180.2 | 175.0 |
| Q Cond_LTC“kW” | 134.5.0 | 134.5.0 | 134.5.0 | 134.5.0 | 134.5.0 | 134.5.0 |
| Q_EVA_HTC“kW” | 71.99 | 71.99 | 71.99 | 71.99 | 71.99 | 71.99 |
| Q_EVA_LTC“kW” | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 |
| First Law Efficiency (COP_MTCascade) | 0.5387 | 0.5691 | 0.6002 | 0.6321 | 0.6650 | 0.6991 |
| MTCascade Exergy Destruction Ratio (EDR) | 1.756 | 1.609 | 1.474 | 1.349 | 1.232 | 1.124 |
| MTCascade Exergetic Efficiency_MTC | 0.3625 | 0.3833 | 0.40640 | 0.4258 | 0.4484 | 0.4709 |
| MTCascade Exergy of Fuel _MTC“kW” | 133.6 | 126.5 | 120.0 | 113.9 | 108.3 | 103.0 |
| MTCascade Exergy of Product _HTC“kW” | 48.49 | 48.49 | 48.49 | 48.49 | 48.49 | 48.49 |
| First Law Efficiency COP_HTC | 1.893 | 2.104 | 2.344 | 2.62 | 2.943 | 3.327 |
| Exergy Destruction Ratio (EDR) | 1.972 | 1.674 | 1.40 | 1.147 | 0.9112 | 0.6907 |
| Exergetic Efficiency_HTC | 0.3365 | 0.3739 | 0.4166 | 0.4656 | 0.5232 | 0.5915 |
| Exergy of Fuel _HTC“kW” | 71.08 | 63.96 | 57.41 | 51.35 | 45.71 | 40.43 |
| Exergy of Product _HTC“kW” | 23.92 | 23.92 | 23.92 | 23.92 | 23.92 | 23.92 |
| First Law Efficiency COP_HTC | 1.893 | 2.104 | 2.344 | 2.62 | 2.943 | 3.327 |
| First Law Efficiency COP_MTC | 1.151 | 1.151 | 1.151 | 1.151 | 1.151 | 1.151 |
| First Law Efficiency COP_LTC | 0.955 | 0.955 | 0.955 | 0.955 | 0.955 | 0.955 |

Table 4(b): Effect of HTC condenser temperature (°C) on thermodynamic performances of cascaded vapour compression refrigeration system using ecofriendly low GWP refrigerants HCFO-1233zd(E) in high temperature circuit (HTC) at Compressor efficiency_{HTC}=80%,and HFO-1336mzz(Z) in medium temperature circuit (MTC) at Compressor efficiency_{MTC}=80%,and HFO-1225ye(Z) in low temperature circuit (LTC) at Compressor efficiency_{LTC}=80%,and $Q_{Eva}=35.167$ kW, $T_{ambient}=25^{\circ}C$, $T_{Eva_HTC}= - 20^{\circ}C$, $T_{Eva_MTC}= - 95^{\circ}C$, $T_{Eva_LTC}= - 150^{\circ}C$, Approach_{MTC}=10(°C), Approach_{LTC}=10(°C),)

| HTC condenser temperature (°C) | 50 | 45 | 40 | 35 | 30 |
|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| First Law Efficiency (COP_Cascade three stages VCERS) | 0.2187 | 0.2279 | 0.2371 | 0.2463 | 0.2557 |
| Exergy Destruction Ratio (EDR_Cascade three stages VCERS) | 2.217 | 2.088 | 1.968 | 1.857 | 1.752 |
| Exergetic Efficiency Cascade three stages VCERS | 0.3108 | 0.3239 | 0.3369 | 0.3501 | 0.3633 |
| Exergy of Fuel_Cascade three stages VCERS “kW” | 160.8 | 154.3 | 148.3 | 142.8 | 137.5 |
| Exergy of Product_Cascade three stages VCERS “kW” | 49.97 | 49.97 | 49.97 | 49.97 | 49.97 |
| HTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 1.036 | 0.9870 | 0.9426 | 0.9023 | 0.8655 |
| MTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 0.5539 | 0.5539 | 0.5539 | 0.5539 | 0.5539 |

| | | | | | |
|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| LTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 0.2157 | 0.2157 | 0.2157 | 0.2157 | 0.2157 |
| Q Cond HTC“kW” | 195.9 | 189.5 | 183.5 | 177.9 | 172.7 |
| Q Cond LTC“kW” | 132.8 | 132.8 | 132.8 | 132.8 | 132.8 |
| Q EVA HTC“kW” | 70.14 | 70.14 | 70.14 | 70.14 | 70.14 |
| Q EVA LTC“kW” | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 |
| First Law Efficiency COP_MTCascade | 0.5576 | 0.5876 | 0.6188 | 0.6508 | 0.6508 |
| Cascaded_MTC Exergy Destruction Ratio (EDR) | 1.662 | 1.526 | 1.399 | 1.281 | 1.171 |
| Cascaded_MTC Exergetic Efficiency_MTC | 0.3756 | 0.3959 | 0.4168 | 0.4384 | 0.4608 |
| Cascaded_MTC Exergy of Fuel HTC“kW” | 125.8 | 119.3 | 113.3 | 107.8 | 102.6 |
| Cascaded_MTC Exergy of Product HTC“kW” | 47.25 | 47.25 | 47.25 | 47.25 | 47.25 |
| First Law Efficiency COP_HTC | 2.104 | 2.340 | 2.62 | 2.943 | 3.327 |
| HTC Exergy Destruction Ratio (EDR) | 1.674 | 1.40 | 1.147 | 0.9112 | 0.6907 |
| HTC Exergetic Efficiency_HTC | 0.373 | 0.4160 | 0.4650 | 0.5230 | 0.5510 |
| HTC Exergy of Fuel HTC“kW” | 63.13 | 56.66 | 50.68 | 45.12 | 39.91 |
| HTC Exergy of Product HTC“kW” | 23.61 | 23.61 | 23.61 | 23.61 | 23.61 |
| First Law Efficiency COP_MTC | 1.119 | 1.119 | 1.119 | 1.119 | 1.119 |
| First Law Efficiency COP_LTC | 1.005 | 1.005 | 1.005 | 1.005 | 1.005 |

Table 5(a): Effect of HTC Evaporator temperature (°C) on thermodynamic performances of cascaded vapour compression refrigeration system using ecofriendly low GWP refrigerants HCFO-1233zd(E) in high temperature circuit (HTC) at Compressor efficiency_{HTC}=80%,and HFO-1225ye(Z) in medium temperature circuit (MTC) at Compressor efficiency_{MTC}=80%,and HFO-1336mzz(Z) in low temperature circuit (LTC) at Compressor efficiency_{LTC}=80%,and Q_{Eva}=35.167 kW, T_{Cond}=50°C, T_{ambient}=25°C, T_{Eva,HTC}= - 30°C, T_{Eva,MTC}= - 95°C, T_{Eva,LTC}= - 150°C, Approach_{MTC}=10(°C), Approach_{LTC}=10(°C),)

| HTC Evaporator Temp(°C) | -20 | -15 | -10 | -5 | 0 | +5 | +10 |
|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| First Law Efficiency (COP_Cascade three stages VCERS) | 0.2153 | 0.2135 | 0.2106 | 0.2067 | 0.2017 | 0.1956 | 0.1884 |
| (EDR_Cascade three stages VCERS) | 2.268 | 2.294 | 2.341 | 2.405 | 2.489 | 2.598 | 2.736 |
| Exergetic Efficiency_Cascade three stages VCERS | 0.3060 | 0.3033 | 0.2993 | 0.2936 | 0.2866 | 0.278 | 0.2677 |
| Exergy of Fuel_Cascade three stages VCERS “kW” | 163.3 | 164.77 | 167.0 | 170.1 | 174.3 | 179.8 | 186.7 |
| Exergy of Product_Cascade three stages VCERS “kW” | 49.97 | 49.97 | 49.97 | 49.97 | 49.97 | 49.97 | 49.97 |
| HTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 1.049 | 1.067 | 1.089 | 1.115 | 1.145 | 1.182 | 1.226 |
| MTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 0.6267 | 0.6579 | 0.693 | 0.7327 | 0.778 | 0.8302 | 0.891 |
| LTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 0.1882 | 0.1882 | 0.1882 | 0.1882 | 0.1882 | 0.1882 | 0.1882 |
| Q Cond HTC“kW” | 198.5 | 199.9 | 202.1 | 205.3 | 209.5 | 214.9 | 221.8 |
| Q Cond MTC“kW” | 134.5 | 140.7 | 147.4 | 154.8 | 163.2 | 172.6 | 183.5 |
| Q Cond LTC“kW” | 71.99 | 71.99 | 71.99 | 71.99 | 71.99 | 71.99 | 71.99 |
| Q EVA LTC“kW” | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 |
| HTC Compressor Work (W_Comp_HTC) ‘kW’ | 63.96 | 59.25 | 54.77 | 50.47 | 46.33 | 42.31 | 38.38 |
| MTC Compressor Work (W_Comp_MTC) ‘kW’ | 62.55 | 68.66 | 75.39 | 82.85 | 91.20 | 100.6 | 111.5 |
| LTC Compressor Work (W_Comp_LTC) ‘kW’ | 36.83 | 36.83 | 36.83 | 36.83 | 36.83 | 36.83 | 36.83 |
| First Law Efficiency COP_MTCascade | 0.5691 | 0.5628 | 0.5531 | 0.540 | 0.5235 | 0.5036 | 0.4804 |
| Cascade Exergy Destruction Ratio (EDR_Cascade) | 1.609 | 1.638 | 1.684 | 1.749 | 1.836 | 1.948 | 2.09 |
| Cascade Exergetic Efficiency_MTCascade | 0.3833 | 0.3791 | 0.3726 | 0.3638 | 0.3526 | 0.3392 | 0.3236 |
| Cascade Exergy of Fuel _HTC“kW” | 126.5 | 127.9 | 130.2 | 133.3 | 137.5 | 143.0 | 149.9 |
| Cascade Exergy of Product “kW” | 48.49 | 48.49 | 48.49 | 48.49 | 48.49 | 48.49 | 48.49 |
| First Law Efficiency (COP_HTC) | 2.104 | 2.374 | 2.691 | 3.068 | 3.523 | 4.08 | 4.78 |
| Exergy Destruction Ratio (EDR_HTC) | 1.674 | 1.719 | 1.794 | 1.794 | 2.102 | 2.408 | 2.949 |
| Exergetic Efficiency_HTC | 0.3739 | 0.3678 | 0.3579 | 0.3132 | 0.3224 | 0.2934 | 0.2532 |
| Exergy of Fuel_HTC | 63.96 | 59.25 | 54.77 | 50.47 | 46.33 | 42.31 | 38.38 |
| HTC Exergy of Product | 23.92 | 21.79 | 19.60 | 17.32 | 14.94 | 12.41 | 9.719 |
| First Law Efficiency COP_HTC | 2.104 | 2.374 | 2.691 | 3.068 | 3.523 | 4.08 | 4.78 |
| First Law Efficiency COP_MTC | 1.151 | 1.048 | 0.955 | 0.869 | 0.7894 | 0.7153 | 0.6458 |
| First Law Efficiency COP_LTC | 0.955 | 0.955 | 0.955 | 0.955 | 0.955 | 0.955 | 0.955 |

Table 5(b): Effect of HTC Evaporator temperature (°C) on thermodynamic performances of cascaded vapour compression refrigeration system using ecofriendly low GWP refrigerants HCFO-1233zd(E) in high temperature circuit (HTC) at Compressor efficiency_{HTC}=80%,and HFO-1336mzz(Z) in medium temperature circuit (MTC) at Compressor efficiency_{MTC}=80%,and HFO-1225ye(Z) in low temperature circuit (LTC) at Compressor efficiency_{LTC}=80%,and Q_{Eva}=35.167 kW, T_{Cond}=50°C, T_{ambient}=25°C, T_{Eva,HTC}= - 20°C, T_{Eva,MTC}= - 95°C, T_{Eva,LTC}= - 150°C, Approach_{MTC}=10(°C), Approach_{LTC}=10(°C),)

| HTC Evaporator Temp(°C) | -30 | -25 | -20 | -15 | -10 | -5 | -0 | +5 | +10 | +15 | +20 |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| (COP _{Cascade three stages VCERS}) | 0.2608 | 0.2587 | 0.2557 | 0.2517 | 0.2467 | 0.2407 | 0.2338 | 0.2289 | 0.2171 | 0.2073 | 0.1966 |
| (EDR _{Cascade three stages VCERS}) | 1.698 | 1.72 | 1.752 | 1.796 | 1.853 | 1.923 | 2.01 | 2.115 | 2.241 | 2.395 | 2.58 |
| Exergetic Efficiency _{Cascade three stages VCERS} | 0.3706 | 0.3676 | 0.3633 | 0.3576 | 0.3505 | 0.3421 | 0.3323 | 0.3211 | 0.3085 | 0.2946 | 0.2793 |
| Fuel Exergy _{Cascade three stages VCERS} “kW” | 134.8 | 135.9 | 137.5 | 139.7 | 142.6 | 146.1 | 150.4 | 155.7 | 162.0 | 169.6 | 178.9 |
| Exergy of Product _{Cascade three stages VCERS} | 49.97 | 49.97 | 49.97 | 49.97 | 49.97 | 49.97 | 49.97 | 49.97 | 49.97 | 49.97 | 49.97 |
| HTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 0.8339 | 0.8339 | 0.8339 | 0.8339 | 0.8339 | 0.8339 | 0.8339 | 0.8339 | 0.8339 | 0.8339 | 0.8339 |
| MTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 0.5055 | 0.5286 | 0.5539 | 0.5819 | 0.6129 | 0.6475 | 0.6864 | 0.7304 | 0.7807 | 0.8386 | 0.9062 |
| LTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 0.2157 | 0.2157 | 0.2157 | 0.2157 | 0.2157 | 0.2157 | 0.2157 | 0.2157 | 0.2157 | 0.2157 | 0.2157 |
| Q _{Cond HTC} “kW” | 170.0 | 171.1 | 172.7 | 174.9 | 177.7 | 181.2 | 185.6 | 190.8 | 197.2 | 204.8 | 214.1 |
| Q _{Cond MTC} “kW” | 122.0 | 127.2 | 132.8 | 138.9 | 145.5 | 152.8 | 160.8 | 169.9 | 180.0 | 191.6 | 205.0 |
| Q _{Cond LTC} “kW” | 70.14 | 70.14 | 70.14 | 70.14 | 70.14 | 70.14 | 70.14 | 70.14 | 70.14 | 70.14 | 70.14 |
| Q _{EVA LTC} “kW” | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 |
| Comp Work (W _{Comp,HTC}) ‘kW’ | 48.0 | 43.89 | 39.91 | 36.03 | 32.23 | 28.47 | 24.72 | 20.96 | 17.14 | 13.2 | 9.098 |
| Compressor Work _{MTC} ‘kW’ | 51.87 | 57.06 | 62.66 | 68.73 | 75.36 | 82.64 | 90.7 | 99.71 | 109.9 | 121.5 | 134.8 |
| Comp Work (W _{Comp,LTC}) ‘kW’ | 34.97 | 34.97 | 34.97 | 34.97 | 34.97 | 34.97 | 34.97 | 34.97 | 34.97 | 34.97 | 34.97 |
| COP _{MTCascade} | 0.7023 | 0.6948 | 0.6838 | 0.6695 | 0.652 | 0.6313 | 0.6077 | 0.5812 | 0.5522 | 0.5209 | 0.4873 |
| (EDR _{Cascade MTC}) | 1.114 | 1.137 | 1.171 | 1.217 | 1.853 | 1.352 | 1.443 | 1.554 | 1.688 | 1.850 | 2.045 |
| Cascade Exergetic Efficiency _{MTCascade} | 0.4731 | 0.4680 | 0.4606 | 0.4510 | 0.4392 | 0.4252 | 0.4093 | 0.3915 | 0.3720 | 0.3508 | 0.3283 |
| Cascade Exergy of Fuel _{HTC} “kW” | 99.87 | 101.0 | 102.6 | 104.8 | 107.6 | 111.10 | 115.4 | 120.7 | 127.0 | 134.7 | 143.9 |
| Cascade Exergy of Product “kW” | 47.25 | 47.25 | 47.25 | 47.25 | 47.25 | 47.25 | 47.25 | 47.25 | 47.25 | 47.25 | 47.25 |
| First Law Efficiency (COP _{HTC}) | 2.542 | 2.894 | 3.327 | 3.854 | 4.515 | 5.367 | 6.506 | 8.103 | 10.5 | 14.51 | 22.53 |
| (EDR _{HTC}) | 0.7392 | 0.7125 | 0.6907 | 0.6745 | 0.6653 | 0.6655 | 0.6795 | 0.7163 | 0.7971 | 0.9859 | 1.602 |
| Exergetic Efficiency _{HTC} | 0.5750 | 0.5839 | 0.5915 | 0.5972 | 0.6005 | 0.6004 | 0.5954 | 0.5827 | 0.5564 | 0.5036 | 0.3843 |
| Exergy of Fuel _{HTC} | 48.0 | 43.89 | 39.91 | 36.03 | 32.23 | 28.47 | 24.72 | 20.96 | 17.14 | 13.2 | 9.098 |
| HTC Exergy of Product | 27.6 | 25.63 | 23.61 | 21.52 | 19.35 | 17.09 | 14.72 | 12.21 | 9.536 | 6.649 | 3.496 |
| First Law Efficiency COP _{HTC} | 2.542 | 2.894 | 3.327 | 3.854 | 4.515 | 5.367 | 6.506 | 8.103 | 10.50 | 14.51 | 22.53 |
| First Law Efficiency COP _{MTC} | 1.352 | 1.229 | 1.119 | 1.021 | 0.9308 | 0.8488 | 0.7733 | 0.7034 | 0.6384 | 0.5775 | 0.5202 |
| First Law Efficiency COP _{LTC} | 1.005 | 1.005 | 1.005 | 1.005 | 1.005 | 1.005 | 1.005 | 1.005 | 1.005 | 1.005 | 1.005 |

Table 6: Effect of MTC Evaporator temperature (°C) on thermodynamic performances of cascaded vapour compression refrigeration system using ecofriendly low GWP refrigerants HCFO-1233zd(E) in high temperature circuit (HTC) at Compressor efficiency_{HTC}=80%,and HFO-1225ye(Z) in medium temperature circuit (MTC) at Compressor efficiency_{MTC}=80%,and HFO-1336mzz(Z) in low temperature circuit (LTC) at Compressor efficiency_{LTC}=80%,and Q_{Eva}=35.167 kW, T_{ambient}=25°C, T_{Eva,HTC}= - 20°C, T_{Eva,MTC}= - 95°C, T_{Eva,LTC}= - 150°C, Approach_{MTC}=10(°C), Approach_{LTC}=10(°C),)

| MTC Evaporator Temperature (°C) | -95 | -90 | -85 | -80 | -75 |
|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| First Law Efficiency (COP _{Cascade three stages VCERS}) | 0.2153 | 0.2156 | 0.2154 | 0.2145 | 0.213 |
| Exergy Destruction Ratio (EDR _{Cascade three stages VCERS}) | 2.268 | 2.263 | 2.268 | 2.281 | 2.304 |
| Exergetic Efficiency _{Cascade three stages VCERS} | 0.3060 | 0.3064 | 0.3060 | 0.3048 | 0.3027 |
| Exergy of Fuel _{Cascade three stages VCERS} “kW” | 163.3 | 163.1 | 163.3 | 164.0 | 165.1 |
| Exergy of Product _{Cascade three stages VCERS} “kW” | 49.97 | 49.97 | 49.97 | 49.97 | 49.97 |
| HTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 1.049 | 1.048 | 1.459 | 1.053 | 1.059 |
| MTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 0.6267 | 0.6459 | 0.6655 | 0.6856 | 0.7063 |
| LTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 0.1882 | 0.1882 | 0.1882 | 0.1882 | 0.1882 |
| Q _{Cond HTC} “kW” | 198.5 | 198.2 | 198.5 | 199.1 | 200.3 |
| Q _{Cond LTC} “kW” | 134.5 | 134.4 | 134.5 | 135.0 | 135.7 |
| Q _{EVA HTC} “kW” | 71.99 | 75.81 | 79.81 | 84.01 | 88.42 |
| Q _{EVA LTC} “kW” | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 |
| First Law Efficiency (COP _{MTCascade}) | 0.5691 | 0.6192 | 0.6727 | 0.7297 | 0.7904 |
| MTCascade Exergy Destruction Ratio (EDR) | 1.609 | 1.572 | 1.543 | 1.521 | 1.507 |
| MTCascade Exergetic Efficiency _{MTC} | 0.3833 | 0.3888 | 0.3933 | 0.3967 | 0.3989 |
| MTCascade Exergy of Fuel _{MTC} “kW” | 126.5 | 122.40 | 118.6 | 115.1 | 111.9 |
| MTCascade Exergy of Product _{HTC} “kW” | 48.49 | 47.60 | 46.66 | 45.67 | 44.62 |
| First Law Efficiency COP _{HTC} | 2.104 | 2.104 | 2.104 | 2.104 | 2.104 |

| | | | | | |
|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Exergy Destruction Ratio (EDR) | 1.674 | 1.674 | 1.674 | 1.674 | 1.674 |
| Exergetic Efficiency _{HTC} | 0.3739 | 0.3739 | 0.3739 | 0.3739 | 0.3739 |
| Exergy of Fuel _{HTC} “kW” | 63.96 | 63.87 | 63.94 | 64.16 | 64.53 |
| Exergy of Product _{HTC} “kW” | 23.92 | 23.89 | 23.91 | 23.99 | 24.13 |
| First Law Efficiency COP _{HTC} | 2.104 | 2.104 | 2.104 | 2.104 | 2.104 |
| First Law Efficiency COP _{MTC} | 1.151 | 1.295 | 1.459 | 1.648 | 1.864 |
| First Law Efficiency COP _{LTC} | 0.955 | 0.8652 | 0.7877 | 0.7201 | 0.6604 |

Table 7: Effect of MTC Evaporator temperature (°C) on thermodynamic performances of cascaded vapour compression refrigeration system using ecofriendly low GWP refrigerants HCFO-1233zd(E) in high temperature circuit (HTC) at Compressor efficiency_{HTC}=80%,and HFO-1336mzz(Z) in medium temperature circuit (MTC) at Compressor efficiency_{MTC}=80%,and HFO-1225ye(Z) in low temperature circuit (LTC) at Compressor efficiency_{LTC}=80%,and $Q_{Eva}=35.167$ kW, $T_{ambient}=25^{\circ}C$, $T_{Eva_HTC}= - 20^{\circ}C$, $T_{Eva_MTC}= - 95^{\circ}C$, $T_{Eva_LTC}= - 150^{\circ}C$, Approach_{MTC}=10(°C), Approach_{LTC}=10(°C),)

| MTC Evaporator Temp(°C) | -100 | -95 | -90 | -85 | -80 | -75 | -70 |
|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| First Law Efficiency (COP _{Cascade three stages VCERS}) | 0.2522 | 0.2557 | 0.2583 | 0.2602 | 0.2612 | 0.2613 | 0.2606 |
| Exergy Destruction Ratio (EDR _{Cascade three stages VCERS}) | 1.791 | 1.752 | 1.724 | 1.705 | 1.695 | 1.693 | 1.701 |
| Exergetic Efficiency Cascade three stages VCERS | 0.3583 | 0.3633 | 0.3671 | 0.3697 | 0.3711 | 0.3713 | 0.3703 |
| Exergy of Fuel _{Cascade three stages VCERS} “kW” | 139.5 | 137.5 | 136.1 | 135.2 | 134.7 | 134.6 | 135.0 |
| Exergy of Product _{Cascade three stages VCERS} “kW” | 49.97 | 49.97 | 49.97 | 49.97 | 49.97 | 49.97 | 49.97 |
| HTC compressor Work (W _{Comp_HTC})“kW” | 40.35 | 39.91 | 39.58 | 39.36 | 39.24 | 39.23 | 39.31 |
| Wompressor Work (W _{Comp_MTC})“kW” | 67.43 | 62.66 | 58.15 | 53.87 | 49.79 | 45.88 | 42.12 |
| LTC compressor Work (W _{Comp_LTC})“kW” | 31.68 | 34.97 | 38.39 | 41.93 | 45.62 | 49.48 | 53.53 |
| HTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 0.8753 | 0.8584 | 0.8584 | 0.8536 | 0.851 | 0.8507 | 0.8526 |
| MTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 0.5410 | 0.5669 | 0.5669 | 0.5801 | 0.5935 | 0.6073 | 0.6216 |
| LTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 0.2104 | 0.2215 | 0.2215 | 0.2276 | 0.2342 | 0.2414 | 0.2491 |
| Q _{Cond_HTC} “kW” | 174.6 | 172.7 | 171.3 | 170.3 | 169.8 | 169.8 | 170.1 |
| Q _{Cond_MTC} “kW” | 134.3 | 132.8 | 131.7 | 131.0 | 130.6 | 130.5 | 130.8 |
| Q _{Cond_LTC} “kW” | 66.85 | 70.14 | 70.14 | 70.14 | 70.14 | 70.14 | 70.14 |
| Q _{EVA_LTC} “kW” | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 |
| First Law Efficiency COP _{MTC} Cascade | 0.6202 | 0.6838 | 0.7526 | 0.7526 | 0.9074 | 0.9946 | 1.089 |
| Cascaded Exergy Destruction Ratio (EDR _{MTC}) | 1.233 | 1.171 | 1.116 | 1.068 | 1.027 | 0.9922 | 0.9631 |
| Cascaded Exergetic Efficiency _{MTC} | 0.4477 | 0.4606 | 0.4725 | 0.4834 | 0.4933 | 0.5019 | 0.5094 |
| Cascaded Exergy of Fuel _{MTC} “kW” | 107.8 | 102.6 | 97.74 | 93.24 | 89.03 | 85.11 | 81.43 |
| Cascaded Exergy of Product _{MTC} “kW” | 48.26 | 47.25 | 46.18 | 45.07 | 43.92 | 42.72 | 41.48 |
| First Law Efficiency COP _{HTC} | 3.327 | 3.327 | 3.327 | 3.327 | 3.327 | 3.327 | 3.327 |
| Exergy Destruction Ratio (EDR) | 0.6907 | 0.6907 | 0.6907 | 0.6907 | 0.6907 | 0.6907 | 0.6907 |
| Exergetic Efficiency _{HTC} | 0.5915 | 0.5915 | 0.5915 | 0.5915 | 0.5915 | 0.5915 | 0.5915 |
| Exergy of Fuel _{HTC} “kW” | 40.35 | 39.91 | 39.58 | 39.36 | 39.24 | 39.23 | 39.31 |
| Exergy of Product _{HTC} “kW” | 23.67 | 23.61 | 23.41 | 23.26 | 23.21 | 23.20 | 23.25 |
| First Law Efficiency COP _{HTC} | 3.327 | 3.327 | 3.327 | 3.327 | 3.327 | 3.327 | 3.327 |
| First Law Efficiency COP _{LTC} | 0.9914 | 1.119 | 1.265 | 1.431 | 1.623 | 1.845 | 2.106 |
| First Law Efficiency COP _{HTC} | 1.11 | 1.005 | 0.9161 | 0.8387 | 0.7708 | 0.7107 | 0.6569 |

3.7 Effect of LTC Evaporator temperature (°C) on thermodynamic performances of cascaded vapour compression systems performances using HCFO and HFO refrigerants in have ultra-low GWP for ultra-low temperature of -150°C

The effect of LTC evaporator temperature (°C) on the thermodynamic performances (in terms of

COP_{Cascaded_VCERS_Three_Stages} and Exergetic Efficiency_{Cascaded_VCERS_Three_Stages}) have been shown in Table-8 respectively. It was found that when the LTC evaporator temperature (°C) is increasing, thermodynamic performance of three stages cascaded vapour compression refrigeration is increasing while first law efficiency of ultra-low temperature cycle is increasing

Table 8: Effect of LTC Evaporator temperature (°C) on thermodynamic performances of cascaded vapour compression refrigeration system using ecofriendly low GWP refrigerants HCFO-1233zd(E) in high temperature circuit (HTC) at Compressor efficiency_{HTC}=80%,and HFO-1336mzz(Z) in medium temperature circuit (MTC) at Compressor efficiency_{MTC}=80%,and HFO-1225ye(Z) in low temperature circuit (LTC) at Compressor efficiency_{LTC}=80%,and Q_{Eva}=35.167 kW,T_{Cond}=50°C, T_{ambient}=25°C,T_{Eva}_{HTC}= - 20°C, T_{Eva}_{MTC}= - 95°C , T_{Eva}_{LTC}= - 150°C, Approach_{MTC}=10(°C), Approach_{LTC}=10(°C),)

| LTC Evaporator Temp(°C) | -155 | -150 | -145 | -140 | -135 | -130 | -125 | -120 |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| First Law Efficiency (COP _{Cascade three stages VCRS}) | 0.1967 | 0.2187 | 0.2416 | 0.2652 | 0.2895 | 0.3143 | 0.3397 | 0.3655 |
| Exergy Destruction Ratio (EDR _{Cascade three stages VCRS}) | 2.337 | 2.217 | 2.12 | 2.042 | 1.983 | 1.938 | 1.908 | 1.890 |
| Exergetic Efficiency Cascade three stages VCRS | 0.2927 | 0.3108 | 0.3205 | 0.3287 | 0.3353 | 0.3403 | 0.3439 | 0.3460 |
| Exergy of Fuel _{Cascade three stages VCRS} “kW” | 178.8 | 160.8 | 145.5 | 132.6 | 121.5 | 111.9 | 103.5 | 96.22 |
| Exergy of Product _{Cascade three stages VCRS} “kW” | 53.58 | 49.97 | 46.65 | 3.584 | 40.73 | 38.08 | 35.61 | 33.3 |
| HTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 1.131 | 1.036 | 0.9554 | 0.8869 | 0.8282 | 0.7775 | 0.7333 | 0.6947 |
| MTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 0.6069 | 0.5539 | 0.5109 | 0.4743 | 0.4429 | 0.4157 | 0.3921 | 0.3714 |
| LTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 0.2180 | 0.2157 | 0.2134 | 0.2110 | 0.2086 | 0.2062 | 0.2037 | 0.2012 |
| HTC Compressor Work “kW” | 68.94 | 63.13 | 58.23 | 54.05 | 50.47 | 47.38 | 44.69 | 42.33 |
| MTC Compressor Work “kW” | 68.43 | 62.66 | 57.79 | 53.65 | 50.10 | 47.03 | 44.36 | 42.02 |
| LTC Compressor Work “kW” | 41.43 | 34.97 | 29.53 | 24.89 | 20.91 | 17.47 | 14.49 | 11.87 |
| Q _{Cond} HTC“kW” | 214.0 | 195.9 | 180.7 | 167.8 | 156.6 | 147.0 | 138.7 | 131.4 |
| Q _{Cond} MTC“kW” | 145.0 | 132.8 | 122.5 | 113.7 | 106.2 | 99.67 | 94.01 | 89.05 |
| Q _{EVA} LTC“kW” | 76.59 | 70.14 | 64.69 | 60.05 | 56.08 | 52.64 | 49.65 | 47.04 |
| Q _{EVA} LTC“kW” | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 |
| Cascade First Law Efficiency COP _{MTC} | 0.5576 | 0.5576 | 0.5576 | 0.5576 | 0.5576 | 0.5576 | 0.5576 | 0.5576 |
| Cascade Exergy Destruction Ratio (EDR) | 1.652 | 1.662 | 1.652 | 1.652 | 1.652 | 1.652 | 1.652 | 1.652 |
| Cascade Exergetic Efficiency _{HTC} | 0.3756 | 0.3756 | 0.3756 | 0.3756 | 0.3756 | 0.3756 | 0.3756 | 0.3756 |
| Cascade Exergy of Fuel _{HTC} “kW” | 137.4 | 137.4 | 116.0 | 107.7 | 100.6 | 94.41 | 89.05 | 84.35 |
| Cascade Exergy of Product _{HTC} “kW” | 51.59 | 49.97 | 43.58 | 40.45 | 37.77 | 35.46 | 33.45 | 31.68 |
| HTC First Law Efficiency COP _{HTC} | 2.104 | 2.104 | 2.104 | 2.104 | 2.104 | 2.104 | 2.104 | 2.104 |
| HTC Exergy Destruction Ratio (EDR) | 1.674 | 1.674 | 1.674 | 1.674 | 1.674 | 1.674 | 1.674 | 1.674 |
| HTC Exergetic Efficiency _{HTC} | 0.3739 | 0.3739 | 0.3739 | 0.3739 | 0.3739 | 0.3739 | 0.3739 | 0.3739 |
| HTC Exergy of Fuel _{HTC} “kW” | 68.94 | 63.13 | 58.23 | 54.05 | 50.47 | 47.38 | 44.69 | 42.33 |
| HTC Exergy of Product _{HTC} “kW” | 25.78 | 23.61 | 21.77 | 20.21 | 18.87 | 17.12 | 17.12 | 15.83 |
| First Law Efficiency COP _{HTC} | 2.104 | 2.104 | 2.104 | 2.104 | 2.104 | 2.104 | 2.104 | 2.104 |
| First Law Efficiency COP _{MTC} | 1.119 | 1.119 | 1.119 | 1.119 | 1.119 | 1.119 | 1.119 | 1.119 |
| First Law Efficiency COP _{LTC} | 0.8489 | 1.005 | 1.191 | 1.413 | 1.682 | 2.012 | 2.428 | 2.963 |

3.8 Comparison of systems performances by changing different HCFO & HCFO refrigerants in high temperature cycle of cascaded three staged vapour compression systems performances using HCFO and HFO refrigerants have ultra-low GWP for ultra-low temperature of -135°C

The following three stages cascaded vapour compression refrigeration systems have been evaluated using HCFO and HFO refrigerants for ultra-low temperature applications

System-1: cascaded vapour compression refrigeration system using ecofriendly low GWP refrigerants HCFO-1233zd(E) in high temperature circuit (HTC) at Compressor efficiency_{HTC}= 80%, and HFO-1336mzz(Z) in medium temperature circuit (MTC) at Compressor efficiency_{MTC} = 80%, and HFO-1225ye(Z) in low temperature circuit (LTC) at Compressor efficiency_{LTC}=80%, and Q_{Eva}=35.167 kW,T_{ambient}=25°C, T_{Eva}_{HTC}= - 30°C, T_{Eva}_{MTC}= - 95°C , T_{Eva}_{LTC}= - 150°C, Approach_{MTC}=10(°C), Approach_{LTC} =10(°C),)

System-2: cascaded vapour compression refrigeration system using ecofriendly low GWP refrigerants HFO-1243zf in high temperature circuit (HTC) at Compressor

efficiency_{HTC}=80%, and HFO-1225ye(Z) in medium temperature circuit (MTC) at Compressor efficiency_{MTC}=80%,and HFO-1336mzz(Z) in low temperature circuit (LTC) at Compressor efficiency_{LTC}=80%,and Q_{Eva}=35.167 kW,T_{ambient}=25°C,T_{Eva}_{HTC}= - 30°C, T_{Eva}_{MTC}= - 95°C , T_{Eva}_{LTC}= - 150°C, Approach_{MTC}=10(°C), Approach_{LTC} =10(°C),)

System-3: cascaded vapour compression refrigeration system using ecofriendly low GWP refrigerants HFO-1234ze(E) in high temperature circuit (HTC) at Compressor efficiency_{HTC}=80%, and HFO-1336mzz(Z) in medium temperature circuit (MTC) at Compressor efficiency_{MTC}=80%, and HFO-1225ye(Z) in low temperature circuit (LTC) at Compressor efficiency_{LTC}=80%, and Q_{Eva}=35.167 kW,T_{ambient}=25°C,T_{Eva}_{HTC}= - 30°C, T_{Eva}_{MTC}= - 95°C , T_{Eva}_{LTC}= - 150°C, Approach_{MTC}=10(°C), Approach_{LTC} =10(°C),).

System-4: cascaded vapour compression refrigeration system using ecofriendly low GWP refrigerants HFO-1224yd(Z) in high temperature circuit (HTC) at Compressor

efficiency_{HTC}=80%, and HFO-1336mzz(Z) in medium temperature circuit (MTC) at Compressor efficiency_{MTC}=80%, and HFO-1225ye(Z) in low temperature circuit (LTC) at Compressor efficiency_{LTC}=80%, and Q_{Eva}=35.167 kW, T_{ambient}=25°C, T_{Eva,HTC}= - 30°C, T_{Eva,MTC}= - 95°C , T_{Eva,LTC}= - 150°C, Approach_{MTC}=10(°C), Approach_{LTC}=10(°C),)

System-5: cascaded vapour compression refrigeration system using ecofriendly low GWP refrigerants HFO-1234yf in high temperature circuit (HTC) at Compressor efficiency_{HTC}=80%, and HFO-1336mzz(Z) in medium temperature circuit (MTC) at Compressor efficiency_{MTC}=80%, and HFO-1225ye(Z) in low temperature

circuit (LTC) at Compressor efficiency_{LTC}=80%, and Q_{Eva}=35.167 kW, T_{ambient}=25°C, T_{Eva,HTC}= - 30°C, T_{Eva,MTC}= - 95°C , T_{Eva,LTC}= - 150°C, Approach_{MTC}=10(°C), Approach_{LTC}=10(°C),)

The effect of HFO & HCFO refrigerants on the thermodynamic performances have been shown in Table-9(a) respectively. It was found that the thermodynamic performances (energy performance (COP_{cascaded three stages}) of three stages cascaded vapour compression refrigeration is highest while first law energy efficiency (COP_{Cascade three stages}) is lowest Similarly Exergetic efficiency of cascaded three stage system is highest and lowest for system-5.

Table 9(a): Thermodynamic performances of cascaded vapour compression refrigeration system using ecofriendly low GWP refrigerants (Q_{Eva}=35.167 kW, T_{Cond}=50°C, T_{ambient}=25°C, T_{Eva,HTC}= - 10°C, Compressor efficiency_{HTC}=80%, T_{Eva,MTC}= - 95°C, Compressor efficiency_{MTC}=80%, T_{Eva,LTC}= - 135°C, Compressor efficiency_{LTC}=80%)

| Performance Parameters | System-1 | System-2 | System-3 | System-4 | System-5 |
|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| First Law Efficiency (COP _{Cascade three stages VCERS}) | 0.2992 | 0.2880 | 0.2878 | 0.2965 | 0.2785 |
| Exergy Destruction Ratio (EDR _{Cascade three stages VCERS}) | 1.886 | 1.998 | 2.0 | 1.913 | 2.10 |
| Exergetic Efficiency _{Cascade three stages VCERS} | 0.3465 | 0.3335 | 0.3334 | 0.3433 | 0.3226 |
| Exergy of Fuel _{Cascade three stages VCERS} “kW” | 117.5 | 122.1 | 122.2 | 118.6 | 126.3 |
| Exergy of Product _{Cascade three stages VCERS} “kW” | 40.73 | 40.73 | 40.73 | 40.73 | 40.73 |
| HTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 0.8226 | 0.9351 | 1.043 | 0.9942 | 1.283 |
| MTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 0.5255 | 0.5255 | 0.5255 | 0.5255 | 0.5255 |
| LTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 0.2325 | 0.2325 | 0.2325 | 0.2325 | 0.2325 |
| HTC compressor work (kW) | 41.37 | 45.94 | 46.01 | 42.46 | 50.08 |
| MTC compressor work (kW) | 44.5 | 44.5 | 44.5 | 44.5 | 44.5 |
| LTC compressor work (kW) | 31.67 | 31.67 | 31.67 | 31.67 | 31.67 |
| Q _{Cond HTC} “kW” | 152.7 | 157.3 | 157.3 | 153.8 | 161.4 |
| Q _{Cond LTC} “kW” | 113.3 | 113.3 | 113.3 | 113.3 | 113.3 |
| Q _{EVA HTC} “kW” | 66.84 | 66.84 | 66.84 | 66.84 | 66.84 |
| Q _{EVA LTC} “kW” | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 |
| First Law Efficiency COP _{LTC} | 1.11 | 1.11 | 1.11 | 1.11 | 1.11 |
| First Law Efficiency COP _{MTC} | 1.502 | 1.502 | 1.502 | 1.502 | 1.502 |
| First Law Efficiency COP _{HTC} | 2.691 | 2.424 | 2.42 | 2.622 | 2.223 |
| Exergy Destruction Ratio (EDR) | 1.794 | 2.102 | 2.107 | 1.867 | 2.382 |
| Exergetic Efficiency _{HTC} | 0.3579 | 0.3223 | 0.3219 | 0.3488 | 0.2957 |
| Exergy of Fuel _{HTC} “kW” | 41.37 | 45.94 | 46.01 | 42.46 | 50.08 |
| Exergy of Product _{HTC} “kW” | 14.81 | 14.81 | 14.81 | 14.81 | 14.81 |
| First Law Efficiency COP _{MTCascade} | 0.7783 | 0.7390 | 0.7385 | 0.7686 | 0.7066 |
| Exergy Destruction Ratio (EDR) | 1.546 | 1.681 | 1.683 | 1.578 | 1.804 |
| Exergetic Efficiency _{MTCascade} | 0.3928 | 0.3730 | 0.3727 | 0.3879 | 0.3566 |
| Exergy of Fuel _{MTCascade} “kW” | 85.67 | 90.44 | 90.51 | 86.96 | 94.58 |
| Exergy of Product _{MTCascade} “kW” | 33.73 | 33.73 | 33.73 | 33.73 | 33.73 |

3.9 Comparison of systems performances by changing different HCFO & HCFO refrigerants in high temperature cycle of cascaded three staged vapour compression systems using different HCFO and HFO refrigerants in HFO refrigerants in lower temperature cycle (LTC) have ultra-low GWP for ultra-low temperature of -135°C

The following three stages cascaded vapour compression refrigeration systems have been evaluated using HCFO and HFO refrigerants for ultra-low temperature applications

System-6: cascaded vapour compression refrigeration system using ecofriendly low GWP refrigerants HCFO-1233zd(E) in high temperature circuit (HTC) at Compressor efficiency_{HTC}=80%, and HFO-1225ye(Z) in medium temperature circuit (MTC) at Compressor efficiency_{MTC}=80%, and HFO-1336mzz(Z) in low temperature circuit (LTC) at Compressor efficiency_{LTC}=80%, and Q_{Eva}=35.167 kW, T_{ambient}=25°C, T_{Eva,HTC}= - 30°C, T_{Eva,MTC}= - 95°C , T_{Eva,LTC}= - 150°C, Approach_{MTC}=10(°C), Approach_{LTC}=10(°C),)

System-7: cascaded vapour compression refrigeration system using ecofriendly low GWP refrigerants HFO-1243zf in high temperature circuit (HTC) at Compressor efficiency_{HTC}=80%, and HFO-1225ye(Z) in medium temperature circuit (MTC) at Compressor efficiency_{MTC}=80%, and HFO-1336mzz(Z) in low temperature circuit (LTC) at Compressor efficiency_{LTC}=80%, and Q_{Eva}=35.167 kW, T_{ambient}=25°C, T_{Eva_HTC}= - 30°C, T_{Eva_MTC}= - 95°C , T_{Eva_LTC}= - 150°C, Approach_{MTC}=10(°C), Approach_{LTC} =10(°C),)

System-8: cascaded vapour compression refrigeration system using ecofriendly low GWP refrigerants HFO-1234ze(E) in high temperature circuit (HTC) at Compressor efficiency_{HTC}=80%, and HFO-1225ye(Z) in medium temperature circuit (MTC) at Compressor efficiency_{MTC}=80%, and HFO-1336mzz(Z) in low temperature circuit (LTC) at Compressor efficiency_{LTC}=80%, and Q_{Eva}=35.167 kW, T_{ambient}=25°C, T_{Eva_HTC}= - 30°C, T_{Eva_MTC}= - 95°C , T_{Eva_LTC}= - 135°C, Approach_{MTC}=10(°C), Approach_{LTC} =10(°C),)

System-9: cascaded vapour compression refrigeration system using ecofriendly low GWP refrigerants HFO-1224yd(Z) in high temperature circuit (HTC) at Compressor efficiency_{HTC}=80%, and HFO-1225ye(Z) in medium temperature circuit (MTC) at Compressor

efficiency_{MTC}=80%, and HFO-1336mzz(Z) in low temperature circuit (LTC) at Compressor efficiency_{LTC}=80%, and Q_{Eva}=35.167 kW, T_{ambient}=25°C, T_{Eva_HTC}= - 30°C, T_{Eva_MTC}= - 95°C , T_{Eva_LTC}= - 150°C, Approach_{MTC}=10(°C), Approach_{LTC} =10(°C),)

System-10: Cascaded vapour compression refrigeration system using ecofriendly low GWP refrigerants HFO-1234yf in high temperature circuit (HTC) at Compressor efficiency_{HTC}=80%, and HFO-1225ye(Z) in medium temperature circuit (MTC) at Compressor efficiency_{MTC}=80%, and HFO-1336mzz(Z) in low temperature circuit (LTC) at Compressor efficiency_{LTC}=80%, and Q_{Eva}=35.167 kW, T_{ambient}=25°C, T_{Eva_HTC}= - 30°C, T_{Eva_MTC}= - 95°C , T_{Eva_LTC}= - 150°C, Approach_{MTC}=10(°C), Approach_{LTC} =10(°C),)

The effect of HFO & HCFO refrigerants on the thermodynamic performances have been shown in Table-9(b) respectively. It was found that the thermodynamic performances (energy performance (COP_{cascaded three stages}) of three stages cascaded vapour compression refrigerationsystem-6 is highest while first law energy efficiency (COP_{Cascade three stages}) is lowest Similarly Exergetic efficiency of cascaded three stage system is highest and lowest for system-10.

Table 9(b): Thermodynamic performances of cascaded vapour compression refrigeration system using ecofriendly low GWP refrigerants (Q_{Eva}=35.167 kW, T_{Cond}=50°C, T_{ambient}=25°C, , T_{Cond}=50°C, T_{ambient}=25°C, T_{Eva_HTC}= - 10°C, Compressor efficiency_{HTC}=80%, T_{Eva_MTC}= - 95°C, Compressor efficiency_{MTC}=80%, T_{Eva_LTC}= - 135°C, Compressor efficiency_{LTC}=80%)

| Performance Parameters | System6 | System7 | System-8 | System9 | System10 |
|---|---------|---------|----------|---------|----------|
| First Law Efficiency (COP _{Cascade three stages} VCRS) | 0.2913 | 0.2805 | 0.2803 | 0.2887 | 0.2713 |
| Exergy Destruction Ratio (EDR _{Cascade three stages} VCRS) | 1.964 | 2.078 | 2.080 | 1.991 | 2.182 |
| Exergetic Efficiency _{Cascade three stages} VCRS | 0.3374 | 0.3249 | 0.3247 | 0.3343 | 0.3142 |
| Exergy of Fuel _{Cascade three stages} VCRS “kW” | 120.7 | 125.4 | 125.4 | 121.8 | 129.6 |
| Exergy of Product _{Cascade three stages} VCRS “kW” | 40.73 | 40.73 | 40.73 | 40.73 | 40.73 |
| HTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 0.8397 | 0.8397 | 0.8397 | 0.8397 | 0.8397 |
| MTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 0.5997 | 0.5997 | 0.5997 | 0.5997 | 0.5997 |
| LTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 0.2065 | 0.2065 | 0.2065 | 0.2065 | 0.2065 |
| HTC compressor work (kW) | 41.37 | 45.94 | 46.01 | 42.46 | 50.08 |
| MTC compressor work (kW) | 44.5 | 44.5 | 44.5 | 44.5 | 44.5 |
| LTC compressor work (kW) | 31.67 | 31.67 | 31.67 | 31.67 | 31.67 |
| Q Cond HTC“kW” | 155.9 | 160.5 | 160.6 | 157.0 | 164.8 |
| Q Cond LTC“kW” | 113.7 | 113.7 | 113.7 | 113.7 | 113.7 |
| Q EVA HTC“kW” | 68.49 | 68.49 | 68.49 | 68.49 | 68.49 |
| Q EVA LTC“kW” | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 |
| First Law Efficiency COP _{LTC} | 1.055 | 1.055 | 1.055 | 1.055 | 1.055 |
| First Law Efficiency COP _{MTC} | 1.516 | 1.516 | 1.516 | 1.516 | 1.516 |
| First Law Efficiency COP _{HTC} | 2.691 | 2.424 | 2.42 | 2.622 | 2.223 |
| Exergy Destruction Ratio (EDR) | 1.794 | 2.102 | 2.107 | 1.867 | 2.382 |
| Exergetic Efficiency _{HTC} | 0.3579 | 0.3223 | 0.3219 | 0.3488 | 0.2957 |
| Exergy of Fuel _{HTC} “kW” | 42.23 | 42.23 | 42.23 | 42.23 | 42.23 |
| Exergy of Product _{HTC} “kW” | 15.12 | 15.12 | 15.12 | 15.12 | 15.12 |
| First Law Efficiency COP _{MTC} Cascade | 0.7856 | 0.7439 | 0.7434 | 0.7738 | 0.7112 |
| Exergy Destruction Ratio (EDR) | 1.529 | 1.664 | 1.665 | 1.561 | 1.786 |
| Exergetic Efficiency _{MTC} Cascade | 0.3955 | 0.3754 | 0.3752 | 0.3905 | 0.3589 |
| Exergy of Fuel _{MTC} Cascade “kW” | 87.4 | 92.06 | 92.13 | 88.50 | 96.29 |
| Exergy of Product _{MTC} Cascade “kW” | 34.56 | 34.56 | 34.56 | 34.56 | 34.56 |

3.10 Comparison of systems performances by changing different HCFO & HCFO refrigerants in high temperature cascaded three staged vapour compression systems using different HCFO and HFO refrigerants in HFO refrigerants in lower temperature cycle (LTC) have ultra-low GWP for ultra-low temperature of -150°C

The following three stages cascaded vapour compression refrigeration systems have been evaluated using HCFO and HFO refrigerants for ultra-low temperature applications

System-11: cascaded vapour compression refrigeration system using ecofriendly low GWP refrigerants HFO-1225ye(Z) in high temperature circuit (HTC) at Compressor efficiency_{HTC}=80%, and HCFO-1233zd(E) in medium temperature circuit (MTC) at Compressor efficiency_{MTC}=80%, and HFO-1336mzz(Z) in low temperature circuit (LTC) at Compressor efficiency_{LTC}=80%, and Q_{Eva}=35.167 kW, T_{ambient}=25°C, T_{Eva,HTC}= - 10°C, T_{Eva,MTC}= - 75°C , T_{Eva,LTC}= - 135°C, Approach_{MTC}=10(°C), Approach_{LTC}=10(°C),)

System-12: cascaded vapour compression refrigeration system using ecofriendly low GWP refrigerants HFO-1336mzz(Z) in high temperature circuit (HTC) at Compressor efficiency_{HTC}=80%, and HCFO-1233zd(E) in medium temperature circuit (MTC) at Compressor efficiency_{MTC}=80%, and HFO-1225ye(Z) in low temperature circuit (LTC) at Compressor efficiency_{LTC}=80%, and Q_{Eva}=35.167 kW, T_{ambient}=25°C, T_{Eva,HTC}= - 10°C, T_{Eva,MTC}= - 75°C , T_{Eva,LTC}= - 135°C, Approach_{MTC}=10(°C), Approach_{LTC}=10(°C),)

System-13: cascaded vapour compression refrigeration system using ecofriendly low GWP refrigerants HFO-1234ze(E) in high temperature circuit (HTC) at Compressor efficiency_{HTC}=80%, and HCFO-1233zd(E) in medium temperature circuit (MTC) at Compressor efficiency_{MTC}=80%, and HFO-1336mzz(Z) in low temperature circuit (LTC) at Compressor efficiency_{LTC}=80%, and Q_{Eva}=35.167 kW, T_{ambient}=25°C, T_{Eva,HTC}= - 10°C, T_{Eva,MTC}= - 75°C , T_{Eva,LTC}= - 135°C, Approach_{MTC}=10(°C), Approach_{LTC}=10(°C),)

System-14: cascaded vapour compression refrigeration system using ecofriendly low GWP refrigerants HFO-1243zf in high temperature circuit (HTC) at Compressor efficiency_{HTC}=80%, and HCFO-1233zd(E) in medium temperature circuit (MTC) at Compressor efficiency_{MTC}=80%, and HFO-1336mzz(Z) in low temperature circuit (LTC) at Compressor efficiency_{LTC}=80%, and Q_{Eva}=35.167 kW, T_{ambient}=25°C, T_{Eva,HTC}= - 10°C, T_{Eva,MTC}= - 75°C , T_{Eva,LTC}= - 135°C, Approach_{MTC}=10(°C), Approach_{LTC}=10(°C),)

System-15: cascaded vapour compression refrigeration system using ecofriendly low GWP refrigerants HFO-1224yd(Z) in high temperature circuit (HTC) at Compressor efficiency_{HTC}=80%, and HCFO-1233zd(E) in medium temperature circuit (MTC) at Compressor efficiency_{MTC}=80%, and HFO-1336mzz(Z) in low temperature circuit (LTC) at Compressor efficiency_{LTC}=80%, and Q_{Eva}=35.167 kW, T_{ambient}=25°C, T_{Eva,HTC}= - 10°C, T_{Eva,MTC}= - 75°C , T_{Eva,LTC}= - 135°C, Approach_{MTC}=10(°C), Approach_{LTC}=10(°C),)

System-16: cascaded vapour compression refrigeration system using ecofriendly low GWP refrigerants HFO-1234yf in high temperature circuit (HTC) at Compressor efficiency_{HTC}=80%, and HCFO-1233zd(E) in medium temperature circuit (MTC) at Compressor efficiency_{MTC}=80%, and HFO-1336mzz(Z) in low temperature circuit (LTC) at Compressor efficiency_{LTC}=80%, and Q_{Eva}=35.167 kW, T_{ambient}=25°C, T_{Eva,HTC}= - 10°C, T_{Eva,MTC}= - 75°C , T_{Eva,LTC}= - 135°C, Approach_{MTC}=10(°C), Approach_{LTC}=10(°C),)

The effect of HFO & HCFO refrigerants on the thermodynamic performances have been shown in Table-10(a) respectively. It was found that the thermodynamic performances (energy performance (COP_{cascaded three stages}) of three stages cascaded vapour compression refrigeration system-12 is highest while first law energy efficiency (COP_{Cascade three stages}) is lowest Similarly Exergetic efficiency of cascaded three stage system is highest and lowest for system-16.

Table 10(a): Thermodynamic performances of cascaded vapour compression refrigeration system using ecofriendly low GWP refrigerants (Q_{Eva}=35.167 kW, T_{Cond}=50°C, T_{ambient}=25°C, T_{Eva,HTC}= - 10°C, Compressor efficiency_{HTC}=80%, T_{Eva,MTC}= - 95°C, Compressor efficiency_{MTC}=80%, T_{Eva,LTC}= - 135°C, Compressor efficiency_{LTC}=80%)

| Performance Parameters | System11 | System12 | System-13 | System14 | System15 | System16 |
|---|----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|
| First Law Efficiency (COP _{Cascade three stages} VCRS) | 0.2821 | 0.2996 | 0.2840 | 0.2842 | 0.2925 | 0.2749 |
| Exergy Destruction Ratio (EDR _{Cascade three stages} VCRS) | 2.060 | 1.882 | 2.040 | 2.038 | 1.952 | 2.141 |
| Exergetic Efficiency _{Cascade three stages} VCRS | 0.3268 | 0.3470 | 0.3289 | 0.3291 | 0.3387 | 0.3183 |
| Exergy of Fuel _{Cascade three stages} VCRS “kW” | 124.6.5 | 117.4 | 123.8 | 123.8 | 120.2 | 127.9 |
| Exergy of Product _{Cascade three stages} VCRS “kW” | 40.73 | 40.73 | 40.73 | 40.73 | 40.73 | 40.73 |
| HTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 1.247 | 0.9862 | 1.057 | 0.9449 | 1.0005 | 1.296 |
| MTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 0.4497 | 0.4389 | 0.4497 | 0.4497 | 0.4497 | 0.4497 |
| LTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 0.2065 | 0.2325 | 0.2065 | 0.2065 | 0.2065 | 0.2065 |
| HTC compressor work (kW) | 41.31 | 42.75 | 46.49 | 46.42 | 42.9 | 50.61 |

| | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| MTC compressor work (kW) | 44.02 | 42.95 | 44.02 | 44.02 | 44.02 | 44.02 |
| LTC compressor work (kW) | 31.32 | 31.67 | 33.32 | 33.32 | 33.32 | 33.32 |
| Q Cond HTC“kW” | 159.8 | 152.5 | 159.0 | 158.9 | 155.4 | 163.1 |
| Q Cond LTC“kW” | 112.5 | 109.8 | 112.5 | 112.5 | 112.5 | 112.5 |
| Q EVA HTC“kW” | 68.49 | 68.49 | 68.49 | 68.49 | 68.49 | 68.49 |
| Q EVA LTC“kW” | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 |
| First Law Efficiency COP_LTC | 1.055 | 1.11 | 1.055 | 1.055 | 1.055 | 1.055 |
| First Law Efficiency COP_MTC | 1.556 | 1.556 | 1.556 | 1.556 | 1.556 | 1.556 |
| First Law Efficiency COP_HTC | 2.378 | 2.568 | 2.42 | 2.424 | 2.622 | 2.223 |
| Exergy Destruction Ratio (EDR) | 2.162 | 1.928 | 2.107 | 2.102 | 1.867 | 2.382 |
| Exergetic Efficiency_HTC | 0.3268 | 0.3416 | 0.3219 | 0.3223 | 0.3488 | 0.2957 |
| Exergy of Fuel HTC“kW” | 47.3 | 42.75 | 46.49 | 46.42 | 42.9 | 50.61 |
| Exergy of Product HTC“kW” | 14.96 | 14.60 | 14.96 | 14.96 | 14.96 | 14.96 |
| First Law Efficiency COP_MTCascade | 0.7499 | 0.7798 | 0.7567 | 0.7573 | 0.788 | 0.7238 |
| Exergy Destruction Ratio (EDR) | 1.642 | 1.541 | 1.618 | 1.617 | 1.515 | 1.738 |
| Exergetic Efficiency_MTCascade | 0.3785 | 0.3936 | 0.3819 | 0.3822 | 0.3977 | 0.3653 |
| Exergy of Fuel MTCascade “kW” | 91.32 | 85.71 | 90.5 | 90.44 | 86.92 | 94.62 |
| Exergy of Product MTCascade “kW” | 34.56 | 33.73 | 34.56 | 34.56 | 34.56 | 34.56 |

3.11 Comparison of systems performances by changing different HCFO & HCFO refrigerants in high temperature cascaded three staged vapour compression systems using different HCFO and HFO refrigerants in HFO refrigerants in lower temperature cycle (LTC) have ultra-low GWP for ultra-low temperature of -135°C.

The following three stages cascaded vapour compression refrigeration systems have been evaluated using HCFO and HFO refrigerants for ultra-low temperature applications

System-17: cascaded vapour compression refrigeration system using ecofriendly low GWP refrigerants HFO-1234ze(E) in high temperature circuit (HTC) at Compressor efficiency_{HTC}=80%, and HCFO-1233zd(E) in medium temperature circuit (MTC) at Compressor efficiency_{MTC}=80%, and HFO-1225ye(Z) in low temperature circuit (LTC) at Compressor efficiency_{LTC}=80%, and Q_{Eva}=35.167 kW, T_{ambient}=25°C, T_{Eva_HTC}= - 10°C, T_{Eva_MTC}= - 75°C , T_{Eva_LTC}= - 135°C, Approach_{MTC}=10(°C), Approach_{LTC}=10(°C),)

System-18: cascaded vapour compression refrigeration system using ecofriendly low GWP refrigerants HFO-1243zf in high temperature circuit (HTC) at Compressor efficiency_{HTC}=80%, and HCFO-1233zd(E) in medium temperature circuit (MTC) at Compressor efficiency_{MTC}=80%, and HFO-1225ye(Z) in low temperature circuit (LTC) at Compressor efficiency_{LTC}=80%, and Q_{Eva}=35.167 kW, T_{ambient}=25°C, T_{Eva_HTC}= - 10°C, T_{Eva_MTC}= - 75°C , T_{Eva_LTC}= - 135°C, Approach_{MTC}=10(°C), Approach_{LTC}=10(°C),)

System-19: cascaded vapour compression refrigeration system using ecofriendly low GWP refrigerants HFO-1224yd(Z) in high temperature circuit (HTC) at Compressor efficiency_{HTC}=80%, and HCFO-1233zd(E) in medium temperature circuit (MTC) at Compressor efficiency_{MTC}=80%, and) HFO-1225ye(Z) in low temperature circuit (LTC) at Compressor efficiency_{LTC}=80%, and Q_{Eva}=35.167 kW, T_{ambient}=25°C, T_{Eva_HTC}= - 10°C, T_{Eva_MTC}= - 75°C , T_{Eva_LTC}= - 135°C, Approach_{MTC}=10(°C), Approach_{LTC}=10(°C),)

System-20: cascaded vapour compression refrigeration system using ecofriendly low GWP refrigerants HFO-1234yf in high temperature circuit (HTC) at Compressor efficiency_{HTC}=80%, and HCFO-1233zd(E) in medium temperature circuit (MTC) at Compressor efficiency_{MTC}=80% and HFO-1225ye(Z) in low temperature circuit (LTC) at Compressor efficiency_{LTC}=80%, and Q_{Eva}=35.167 kW, T_{ambient}=25°C, T_{Eva_HTC}= -10°C, T_{Eva_MTC}= -75°C, T_{Eva_LTC}= -135°C, Approach_{MTC}=10(°C), Approach_{LTC}=10(°C),)

The effect of HFO & HCFO refrigerants on the thermodynamic performances have been shown in Table-10(b) respectively. It was found that the thermodynamic performances (energy performance (COP_{cascaded three stages}) of three stages cascaded vapour compression refrigeration system-19 is highest while first law energy efficiency (COP_{Cascade three stages}) is lowest Similarly Exergetic efficiency of cascaded three stage system is highest and lowest for system-20.

Table 10(b): Thermodynamic performances of cascaded vapour compression refrigeration system using ecofriendly low GWP refrigerants ($Q_{Eva}=35.167$ kW, $T_{Cond}=50^{\circ}C, T_{ambient}=25^{\circ}C, T_{Eva_HTC}= - 10^{\circ}C$, Compressor efficiency_{HTC}=80%, $T_{Eva_MTC}= - 95^{\circ}C$, Compressor efficiency_{MTC}=80%, $T_{Eva_LTC}= - 135^{\circ}C$, Compressor efficiency_{LTC}=80%)

| Performance Parameters | System17 | System18 | System-19 | System20 |
|---|----------|----------|-----------|----------|
| First Law Efficiency (COP _{Cascade three stages VCERS}) | 0.2931 | 0.2932 | 0.3019 | 0.2836 |
| Exergy Destruction Ratio (EDR _{Cascade three stages VCERS}) | 1.946 | 1.944 | 1.86 | 2.245 |
| Exergetic Efficiency Cascade three stages VCERS | 0.3394 | 0.3396 | 0.3496 | 0.3284 |
| Exergy of Fuel _{Cascade three stages VCERS "kW"} | 120.0 | 119.9 | 116.5 | 124.0 |
| Exergy of Product _{Cascade three stages VCERS "kW"} | 40.73 | 40.73 | 40.73 | 40.73 |
| HTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 1.029 | 0.9222 | 0.9804 | 1.265 |
| MTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 0.4389 | 0.4389 | 0.4389 | 0.4389 |
| LTC Mass flow Rate (Kg/sec) | 0.2325 | 0.2325 | 0.2325 | 0.2325 |
| HTC compressor work (kW) | 45.37 | 45.3 | 41.87 | 49.39 |
| MTC compressor work (kW) | 42.95 | 42.95 | 42.95 | 42.95 |
| LTC compressor work (kW) | 31.67 | 31.67 | 31.67 | 31.67 |
| Q Cond HTC "kW" | 155.2 | 155.1 | 151.7 | 159.2 |
| Q Cond LTC "kW" | 109.8 | 109.8 | 109.8 | 109.8 |
| Q EVA HTC "kW" | 66.84 | 66.84 | 66.84 | 66.84 |
| Q EVA LTC "kW" | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 | 35.167 |
| First Law Efficiency COP _{LTC} | 1.11 | 1.11 | 1.11 | 1.11 |
| First Law Efficiency COP _{MTC} | 1.556 | 1.556 | 1.556 | 1.556 |
| First Law Efficiency COP _{HTC} | 2.42 | 2.424 | 2.622 | 2.223 |
| Exergy Destruction Ratio (EDR) | 2.107 | 2.102 | 1.867 | 2.382 |
| Exergetic Efficiency _{HTC} | 0.3219 | 0.3223 | 0.3488 | 0.2957 |
| Exergy of Fuel _{HTC "kW"} | 45.37 | 45.3 | 41.87 | 49.39 |
| Exergy of Product _{HTC "kW"} | 14.6 | 14.60 | 14.6 | 14.6 |
| First Law Efficiency COP _{MTCascade} | 0.7567 | 0.7573 | 0.788 | 0.7238 |
| Exergy Destruction Ratio (EDR) | 1.618 | 1.617 | 1.515 | 1.738 |
| Exergetic Efficiency _{MTCascade} | 0.3819 | 0.3822 | 0.3977 | 0.3653 |
| Exergy of Fuel _{MTCascade "kW"} | 88.32 | 88.26 | 84.82 | 92.34 |
| Exergy of Product _{MTCascade "kW"} | 33.73 | 33.73 | 33.73 | 33.73 |

4. Conclusions

Following Conclusions were drawn from present investigations.

- In six three stages cascaded vapour compression refrigeration systems the refrigerants suggested (R717, R-152a & R161) by sun [4] in the HTC and R-41 & R-170 suggested in MTC and R1150 in LTC and gives inferior first law energy efficiency (COP_{Cacaded_VCRS_Three_Stages}) and exergetic efficiency_{Cacaded_VCRS_Three_Stages} than using ultra low GWP of HCFO & HFO (R-1233zd(E), R1224yd(Z), R1234ze(E), R1243zf, R1234yf, R1225ye(Z) & R1336mzz(Z)) in HTC and ultra-low GWP of HCFO & HFO (R-1233zd(E), R1225ye(Z) & R1336mzz(Z) in MTC and ultra-low GWP of HCFO & HFO (R-1233zd(E), R1225ye(Z) & R1336mzz(Z) in LTC up to T_{Eva_LTC}=-135°C with same input conditions.
- Three stages vapour compression refrigeration system using R1233zd(E) in HTC, R1336mzz(Z) in MTC and R1225ye(Z) in LTC (System-2) gives best (optimum) first law energy performance (COP_{Cascade}) and exergetic efficiency_{Cascade}. As compared to all cascaded vapour compression refrigeration systems.
- In all three stages & two stages vapour compression refrigeration system using HFO & HCFO refrigerants, the

energy and exergy performances decreases as temperature overlapping increasing.

- In all three stages & two stages vapour compression refrigeration system using HFO & HCFO refrigerants, HTC condenser Temperature (°C) is increasing, thermodynamic energy-exergy performances in terms of and exergetic efficiency of three stages cascaded vapour compression refrigeration is decreasing while first law efficiency of high temperature cycle is also decreasing. Similarly, for two stages cascaded systems COP_{HTC} and Exergetic Efficiency also decreasing.
- In all three stages & two stages vapour compression refrigeration system using HFO & HCFO refrigerants, MTC evaporator temperature (°C) is increasing, thermodynamic energy-exergy performances in terms of and exergetic efficiency of three stages cascaded vapour compression refrigeration is increasing while first law efficiency of high temperature cycle is also decreasing. Similarly, for two stages cascaded systems COP_{MTC} and Exergetic Efficiency also increasing.
- In all three stages & two stages vapour compression refrigeration system using HFO & HCFO refrigerants, LTC evaporator temperature (°C) is increasing, thermodynamic energy-exergy performances in terms of and exergetic efficiency of three stages cascaded vapour

compression refrigeration is increasing while first law efficiency of low temperature cycle is also increasing. Similarly, for two stages cascaded systems COP_c and Exergetic Efficiency also increasing.

References

- [1] Dopazo, J.A.; Fernández-Seara, J.; Sieres, J.; Ufía, F. Theoretical analysis of a CO₂-NH₃ cascade refrigeration system for cooling applications at low temperatures. *Appl. Therm. Eng.* 2009, 29, 1577–1583.
- [2] Fernandez-Seara, J.; Sieres, J.; Vazquez, M. Compression-absorption cascade refrigeration system. *Appl. Therm. Eng.* 2006, 26, 502–512.
- [3] Sánchez, D.; Cabello, R.; Llopis, R.; Catalán-Gil, J.; Nebot-Andrés, L. Energy assessment and environmental impact analysis of an R134a/R744 cascade refrigeration plant upgraded with the low-GWP refrigerants R152a, R1234ze (E), propane (R290) and propylene (R1270). *Int. J. Refrig.* 2019, 104, 321–334.
- [4] K.Logesh, et.al., A Analysis of Cascade Vapour Refrigeration System with various Refrigerants, Volume 18, Part 7, 2019, Pages 4659-4664
- [5] Sun, Z.; Wang, Q.; Dai, B.; Wang, M.; Xie, Z. Options of low global warming potential refrigerant group for a three-stage cascade refrigeration system. *Int. J. Refrig.* 2019, 100, 471–483
- [6] Bolaji, B.O.; Huan, Z. Ozone depletion and global warming: Case for the use of natural refrigerant—A review. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 2013, 18, 49–54
- [7] Banks, J.H. Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer, United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). 2002 Report of the Methyl Bromide Technical Options Committee; UNEP: Nairobi, Kenya, 2002.
- [8] Kilicarslan, A.; Hosoz, M. Energy and irreversibility analysis of a cascade refrigeration system for various refrigerant couples. *Energy Convers. Manag.* 2010, 51, 2947–2954
- [9] Yilmaz, F.; et.al. . Performance Analyses of CO₂-N₂O Cascade System for Cooling, Energy, Transportation and Global Warming; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2016.
- [10] Hermes, C.et.al., Thermodynamic comparison of Peltier, Stirling, and vapor compression portable coolers. *Appl. Eng.* 2012, 91, 51–58.
- [11] Lee, T.S.; Liu, C.H.; Chen, T.W. Thermodynamic analysis of optimal condensing temperature of cascade-condenser in CO₂ /NH₃ cascade refrigeration systems. *Int. J. Refrig.* 2006, 29, 1100–1108.
- [12] Sachdeva, G.; Jain, V.; Kachhwaha, S.S. Performance study of cascade refrigeration system using alternative refrigerants. *Int. Sch. Sci. Res. Innov.* 2014, 8, 522–528
- [13] Getu, H.M.; Bansal, P.K. Thermodynamic analysis of an R744–R717 cascade refrigeration system. *Int. J. Refrig.* 2008, 31, 45–54
- [14] Yamaguchi, H.; Niu, X.D.; Sekimoto, K.; Neksã, P. Investigation of dry ice blockage in an ultra-low temperature cascade refrigeration system using CO₂ as a working fluid. *Int. J. Refrig.* 2011, 3, 466–475
- [15] Eini, S.; Shahhosseini, H.; Delgarm, N.; Lee, M.; Bahadori, A. Multi-objective optimization of a cascade refrigeration system: Exergetic, economic, environmental, and inherent safety analysis. *Appl. Therm. Eng.* 2016, 107, 804–817
- [16] Cabello, R.; Sánchez, D.; Llopis, R.; Catalán, J.; Nebot-Andrés, L.; Torrella, E. Energy evaluation of R152a as drop in replacement for R134a in cascade refrigeration plants. *Appl. Therm. Eng.* 2017, 110, 972–984.
- [17] Sholahudin, S.; Giannetti, N. Optimization of a cascade refrigeration system using refrigerant C3H8 in high temperature circuits (HTC) and a mixture of C2H6 /CO₂ in low temperature circuits (LTC). *Appl. Therm. Eng.* 2016, 104, 96–103
- [18] Bhattacharyya, S.; Garai, A.; Sarkar, J. Thermodynamic analysis and optimization of a novel N₂O–CO₂ cascade system for refrigeration and heating. *Int. J. Refrig.* 2009, 32, 1077–1084. [CrossRef]
- [19] Pearson, A. Carbon dioxide-new uses for an old refrigerant. *Int. J. Refrig.* 2005, 28, 1140–1148
- [20] Person, A. New developments in industrial refrigeration. *ASHRAE J.* 2001, 43, 54–58
- [21] Muthu, V.; Saravanan, R.; Renganarayanan, S. Experimental studies on R134a-DMAC hot water based vapour absorption refrigeration systems. *Int. J. Therm. Sci.* 2008, 47, 175–181
- [22] Niu, B.; Zhang, Y. Experimental study of the refrigeration cycle performance for the R744/R290 mixtures. *Int. J. Refrig.* 2007, 30, 37–42
- [23] Parekh, A.D.; Taylor, P.R. Thermodynamic analysis of R507A-R23 cascade refrigeration system. *Int. J. Aerosp. Mech. Eng.* 2011, 5, 1919–1923
- [24] Navarro, E.; Martínez-Galvan, I.O.; Nohales, J.; González-Maciá, J. Comparative experimental study of an open piston compressor working with R-1234yf, R-134a and R-290. *Int. J. Refrig.* 2013, 36, 768–775
- [25] R.S. Mishra Methods for improving thermodynamic performances using exergy evaluation in modified VCRS using HFO refrigerants for reducing global warming International Journal of research in engineering and innovation (IJREI) having e-ISSN 2456-6934, Volume-5 - Issue-4, page-163-173
- [26] R.S. Mishra Thermodynamic performances improvement of vapour compression system using ecofriendly HFO refrigerants International Journal of research in engineering and innovation (IJREI) having e-ISSN 2456-6934 (Online) Volume-5 - Issue-4, page-189-200.
- [27] R.S. Mishra Effect of Different load conditions using detailed exergy evaluations of multi evaporators and multi expansion valved modified VCRS using HFO & HCFO refrigerants. International Journal of research in engineering and innovation (IJREI) having e-ISSN 2456-6934, Volume-5, Issue-5, page-211-231.

Cite this article as: R.S. Mishra, Optimization of ultra-low global warming potential refrigerant groups for a three-stages/two stages cascaded vapour compression refrigeration system, International journal of research in engineering and innovation (IJREI), vol 5, issue 6 (2021), 322-340. <https://doi.org/10.36037/IJREI.2021.5601>